SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 1 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT NO. 57 HON. PAUL G. BRECKENRIDGE, JR., JUDGE CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff, No. C 420153 VS. 7 GERALD ARMSTRONG, 8 Defendant. 9 10 MARY SUE HUBBARD, 11 Intervenor. 12 13 REPORTERS' TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS Tuesday, June 5, 1984 15 Volume 25 16 Pages 4365 to 4547, incl. 17 18 APPEARANCES: 19 (See Appearance Page.) 20 21 22 CONTOS & BUNCH 23 5855 TOPANGA CANYON BOULEVARD SUITE 400 WOODLAND HILLS, CA 91367 24 25 26 NANCY HARRIS, CSR NO. 644 HERBERT CANNON, CSR NO. 1923 27 OFFICIAL REPORTERS

1	APPEARANCES:	
2		
3	For the Plaintiff:	PETERSON & BRYNAN
4		BY: JOHN G. PETERSON 8530 Wilshire Boulevard
5		Suite 407 Beverly Hills, California 90211
6		(213) 659-9965
7		-and-
8		ROBERT N. HARRIS The Oviatt Building
9		617 South Olive Street Suite 915
10		Los Angeles, California 90014 (213) 626-3271
11		
12	For the Intervenor:	LITT & STORMER BY: BARRETT S. LITT
13		Paramount Plaza 3550 Wilshire Boulevard
14		Suite 1200 Los Angeles, California 90010
15		(213) 386-4303
16		-and-
17		BARRETT S. LITT BY: MICHAEL S. MAGNUSON
18		The Oviatt Building 617 South Olive Street
19		Suite 1000 Los Angeles, California 90014
20		(213) 623-7511
21	For the Defendant:	CONTOS & BUNCH
22	rot the betendant:	BY: MICHAEL J. FLYNN -and-
23		JULIA DRAGOJEVIC 5855 Topanga Canyon Boulevard
24		Suite 400
25		Woodland Hills, California 91367 (213) 716-9400
26		
27		

	E 25				7, incl.
DAY	DATE				PAGE
Tuesđay	June 5,	1984	A.M. P.M.		4365 4483
		PROCEEDINGS			
SURREBUTTAL					4381
the tip too too too too too too too too too to					
		WITNESSES			
PLAINTIFF'S:		DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROS
ARMSTRONG, Gera (Rebuttal)	ld	4365-H	4371	4378-Н	
DEFENDANT'S SUR	REBUTTAL:				
WALTERS, Edward (Resumed)		4381 (4388	Voir Dire 4397-H		4388-H
DOUGLAS, Kima (Further)		4434	4441-L	4457 4467	4461-H
SCHOMER, Howard		4487	4516-H 4534-L	4539	4544-H
		EXHIBITS		OR	IN
PLAINTIPP'S:				ICATION I	
111 - Letter 6-	18-81, Gerr	y to Sue. a	nd		
111 - Letter 6-18-81, Gerry to Sue, and copy, L. Ron Hubbard A Brief Biographical Sketch 4365					
112 - Letter 11 letter 11		ry to Sue, rus to Gerr		367	
113 - Copy Bona	venture Hot	el billing		381	4381
		nual Report			

			II
1	INDEX OF VOLUME 25 (Continued)		
2			
3	EXHIBITS	BOR	711
4	PLAINTIFF'S:	FOR IDENTIFICATION	IN EVIDENCE
5	115 - Copy, one-page receipt, 8-21-73	4444	
6	113 - copy, one-page receipt, 6-21-73		
7	DEFENDANT'S:		
8	GGGG - Copy 3-page report, Confidential	4541	4546
9	HHHH - Copy 2-page document, Confidentia	al	
10	Homer Schomer Salvage Mission	4543	4546
11	IIII - Copy one-page letter 5-18-84 re Homer Schomer	4543	4546
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; TUESDAY, JUNE 5, 1984; 9:04 A.M. 1 000 2 3 THE COURT: All right, in the case on trial let the 4 5 record reflect that all counsel are present. You may call your next witness. 6 7 MR. HARRIS: Yes, thank you, Your Honor. 8 9 GERALD ARMSTRONG, 10 the defendant herein, called as a witness in behalf of the plaintiff in rebuttal, having been previously sworn, resumed 11 the stand and testified further as follows: 12 13 THE COURT: State your name again for the record, sir. 14 You are still under oath. 15 THE WITNESS: Gerald Armstrong. 16 MR. HARRIS: Your Honor, I have a document dated 17 18 June, 1981. May that be marked plaintiff's next in order. 18 I believe 90 - -19 THE COURT: 111. 20 21 DIRECT EXAMINATION 22 BY MR. HARRIS: 23 Mr. Armstrong, I am going to show you exhibit 24 111 and ask you if you recognize it. 25 Yes. 26 27

2f

8 9

A Did I type it?

Q Directing your attention to the second paragraph on the first page, the third sentence, "And you pretty well have to assume the viewpoint of a fairly critical Woggish nonScientologist as the dedicated SCNS. Don't even need the Biog."

Do you see that?

- A Yes.
- Q What is "woggish"?
- A "Woggish" is a term that comes from the use of the word "Wog" which was an acronym of Worthy Oriental Gentleman which Mr. Hubbard borrowed and turned into a nonScientologist being a Wog. And Woggish, to a Scientologist, means that someone does not have the particular knowledge, wisdom, ability that a Scientologist does; that he is unaware, stuck in the reactive mind and generally in a nonoptimum condition of stupidity and unawareness. That is Woggish.
 - Q And SCNS, is that Scientologist?
 - A Yes.
- Q Directing your attention to the second page, the first paragraph, the third sentence, "I'm not even saying that lying is bad."

And then you go on ". . . the writer should just realize what he is saying and know the source of the data."

That is what you wrote; right?

Everything was all right, there wasn't any

28

problem; is that right?

A I said, "It is silly and could have been handled in five minutes' communication." I said, "The report pretty well explains it all."

- Q And was that your state of mind at the time?
- A Basically regarding the report, I suppose.
- Q So was it the case that you were thinking of leaving on the 26th of November 1981?

A I don't know if that was the case at the time. You should understand that one did not within the Scientology network or to people involved ever write that you were really concerned about being sec checked, because if there was such an indication, you certainly would be sec checked within a few minutes.

So, I tried to be as diplomatic as possible and I certainly was not going to convey the type of terror which existed in my guts at that time.

Q And that was your state of mind was terror at the time you wrote this 26 November, 1981 dispatch; is that correct?

A Well, let's put it this way: I was going through a great deal. It may not have been the instant I wrote it because I may have been typing at that instant, but there was a period of time when, as I have testified, I actually made arrangements with Omar Garrison wherein I — if I didn't come back from being sec checked at CMO INT, I was going to make arrangements so that we would have to have a meeting so that I could escape from these people.

4f

Q And had you made those arrangements prior to writing the 26th November, 1981 letter?

A I made the arrangements when I went out to CMO INT, so it was at least that much previously.

Q All right. Did you have a conversation with Mr. Kingsley Winbush in August of 1983?

A I very easily may have. I don't recall the date, but Kingsley came to my place and we did talk, so I don't recall if it was August. The date does not stick in my mind.

Q And did you talk to him about the documents?

A I possibly did. I -- it would have been a peripheral subject, but we both related our respective stories about getting out of the organization, the things that happened to us. Delivery of the documents played a major part in my being able to get out of the organization; so I probably did talk to him about the documents. There is nothing particular that comes to mind, however.

Q Did you tell him on several occasions during your conversations with him that what you were saying was a complete lie and not true?

A No. What I may have said is, "Don't believe me.

I don't want to be believed. You find out for yourself.

I am not here to be believed. I don't want to be the new

L. Ron Hubbard who has people believing him and conning

them. That is not my position."

So I was probably fairly emphatic with, "Don't believe me. I don't even" -- I didn't have any documents.

I said, "You don't even know if I am lying. So you make up your mind."

Q Did you say, Mr. Armstrong, "What I am telling you may be a complete lie"?

A Let me explain to you. What I said was, "You don't know. Don't believe me. You look for yourself."

Q And that was the context in which you said what you may be saying was a complete lie?

A I probably said at some point, "You don't know if I am lying or not."

But I never said to him, "I am lying to you." 1 I tried to be very precise. And I tried to make 2 sure that he understood that I was giving him my own 3 observations and that it was not a L. Ron Hubbard truth. 1 MR. HARRIS: No further questions. 5 7 CROSS-EXAMINATION 8 BY MR. FLYNN: Mr. Armstrong, since you left have you taken a 9 10 particular philosophical view toward the need of former 11 Scientologists to find the truth out for themselves? 12 Yes. 13 What is that philosophical view? 14 Well, it is sort of what I stated. A 15 Many people search me out and call me and talk 16 to me and want to get what they think is the truth from me. 17 Let me stop you right there. 18 Since you left the organization and it has become 19 known that you are involved in this litigation, how many 20 people would you estimate have called you looking to find 21 out answers about L. Ron Hubbard? 22 I suppose i have been called by -- I don't know, 23 maybe 20 or 30. And then I have been approached by maybe 24 another 50 or 100 in different locations or contacts. 25 Have you been contacted by lawyers, for example, 26 as far away as England? 27 Yes. A

Go ahead and continue your current philosophical

?

28

2

3

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

view toward how Scientologists should find out the truth of what is going on in the organization?

Well, I guess deep down I think they'll all find out the truth and ultimately what I say or what the documents say really doesn't matter; that inevitably the truth about L. Ron Hubbardwill come out. And there is nothing that I particularly have to do.

I am not in a position relative to the organization of wanting to attack them or to attack L. Ron Hubbard. I am here sort of by misfortune rather than any desire to do the guy in.

Q Now, in November, 1981 you have that letter in front of you with regard to your state of mind at that time; your wife Jocelyn testified that when you were in your room when you would talk you would turn the radio loud out of fear that people would be eavesdropping or there were bugs in the room; do you recall that testimony?

- Yes. A
- Did that take place?
- A Turning the radio up, yes; that took place.
- And was that the state of mind you were in at the time?
 - A Yes.
- Fear that your superiors would find out what your state of mind was?
 - Yes. A
- So when you wrote letters such as that letter of 26 November, 1981 --

A I kept hoping right up to when I finally could not stand it any more that there would be a change in the organization. And it just didn't happen and it hasn't happened. It will happen. It is sort of inevitable. They cannot continue on with the deceit and with the attacks on individuals and people who seek to find out the truth.

But at that time it didn't happen. And I simply made the choice to leave.

1	Q Now at that time were you fearful of being
2	sec checked?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And to your knowledge had Mr. Harris ever gone
5	through a security check?
6	THE COURT: Was there an objection to that?
7	MR. HARRIS: No.
8	THE WITNESS: No. I don't know. I don't think he
9	has.
10	Q BY MR. FLYNN: And in the last few days have
11	you met with Mr. Homer Shomer?
12	A Yes.
13	Q And just yes or no, did he relate to you the
14	10-hour security check that he went through when he told them
15	he wanted to leave?
16	A Yes.
17	MR. HARRIS: Objection; hearsay. Mr. Shomer is
18	supposed to appear.
19	MR. FLYNN: He will appear.
20	Q Were you aware what a person went through,
21	Mr. Armstrong, in the fall of 1981 when they were security
22	checked because they wanted to leave the organization?
23	A I had a pretty fair idea of what awaited me
24	if it was found out before I did leave that I was going to
25	leave.
26	Q Now, in this exhibit marked lll incidentally
27	was there a phrase that was used in the upper levels of
28	Scientology management called the "Acceptable Truth"?

1	A Yeah.
2	Q And was that coined by L. Ron Hubbard
3	if you know?
4	A Yes.
5	
6	A It was a euphemism for lying.
7	Q And was it commonly understood among top
8	management levels of Scientology that they could use the
9	acceptable truth for whatever purpose they wanted to
10	achieve?
11	MR. HARRIS: I will object to that "commonly understoo
12	Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: Well if this witness knows he can answer.
14	If he doesn't, he can so state.
15	THE WITNESS: Yes, it was.
16	Q BY MR. FLYNN: Now in exhibit 111 you used
17	the words, "Dedicated Scientologists don't even need the
18	biography."
19	By "dedicated" did you mean Scientologists or
20	preclears who were just starting to join the organization
21	who were trying to find out about it?
22	A No.
23	Q Did you mean people who had only been involved
24	for a few months who were asking questions about who is this
25	L. Ron Hubbard and this organization he started?
26	A No.
27	Q What did you mean?
28	
20	A People who were in a state where they would

FD-Taria I	
1	believe him regardless of what he said.
2	Q And regardless of what the facts were?
3	A Yes. Would not examine the facts.
4	Q And did you know such people?
5	A Yes.
6	Q And to your mind is Norman Starky such a
7	person?
8	A Yes.
9	Q And had you known other high level Scientologis
10	such as Kima Douglas, for example, who while she was
11	involved would take the acceptable truth regardless of what
12	the facts were?
13	MR. HARRIS: Well, the question calls for a conclusion
14	Your Honor, based upon hearsay.
15	THE COURT: I will sustain the objection.
16	Q BY MR. FLYNN: And had you known many other
17	high management level Scientologists similar to Norman Starky
18	who would take the acceptable truth regardless of the facts,
19	Mr. Armstrong?
20	A Yes.
21	THE COURT: On this letter, can you interpret for me
22	what the word "Cope" stands for. HCO, I understand
23	means Hubbard Communication Office. Cope Off.
24	THE COURT: Cope Officer.
25	THE COURT: What is "Cope"?
26	THE WITNESS: It means to deal with, to cope with.
27	It is a person who handles problems.
28	THE COURT: Oh. Everybody has got to have a title,

else, and the lying and the defended lying of L. Ron Hubbard

and the destruction of anyone who sought to bring to light 1 the truth behind the lie is, at least in this very temporal world, in my opinion, relatively bad. 3 Now how many shore stories did you learn while you were in the Church of Scientology? 5 MR. HARRIS: I think we have been over this, Your 6 Honor. 7 MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, this is the last question. 8 Just has to do with what lying is in the Church of Scientology. 9 THE COURT: All right, overruled. You can answer. 10 THE WITNESS: Maybe 20, maybe more. I lived a shore 11 12 story the whole time. 13 MR. FLYNN: that is all I have, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Mr. Harris? MR. HARRIS: Just briefly. 15 16 17 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 18 BY MR. HARRIS: 19 Mr. Armstrong, when you were working in 20 November 1981 in the archives, you went outside the church 21 building; did you not? 22 A Yes. 23 And you regularly went outside the church building; isn't that correct? 24 25 A Yes. 26 Q And from time to time you would take your wife 27 and go places in November of 1981; isn't that correct? 28 A Yes.

6f

Q So this talking in the room and turning the radio loud, this was just on one occasion or several occasions?

A Well, Mr. Harris, we lived in our room. That is where we slept. We didn't sleep in the streets at that point.

received.

Insofar as any conversations between you and 1 your wife about leaving, you certainly had, in that very 2 month, taken your wife elsewhere than the Church; is that 3 correct? A Yes. MR. HARRIS: No further questions. 6 MR. FLYNN: Nothing further, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: You may step down, sir. 8 MR. HARRIS: Your Honor, I would ask that the 9 Bonaventure records be marked as Plaintiff's next in order 10 and move them into evidence. 11 MR. FLYNN: No objection. 12 THE COURT: Very well. 113; they will be received. 13 MR. HARRIS: There is one other document, Your Honor, 14 which is a certified copy of the Ralston Pilot -- it is a 15 certified copy of the Ralston Pilot Annual Report. 16 May that be marked Plaintiff's next in order? 17 18 THE COURT: All right. 114. 19 MR. PLYNN: Is this being offered at this time, Your Honor? 20 21 MR. HARRIS: It is. 22 MR. FLYNM: I would object on the ground that Mr. Garrison testified that Mr. Armstrong knew nothing about 23 this. 24 25 MR. LITT: That is all right. 26 THE COURT: It is just evidence of a fact. 27 I'll overrule the objection. It will be

MR. HARRIS: We rest, Your Honor. 1 THE COURT: Is there any surrebuttal? 2 MR. FLYNN: We have got a little bit, Your Honor. Mr. Walters, please. 5 SURREBUTTAL 7 8 EDWARD WALTERS, 9 recalled as a witness by the Defendant in surrebuttal, having 10 been previously duly sworn, and testified further as 11 follows: 12 THE COURT: Mr. Walters, you have already been sworn; 13 just have a seat and state your name again for the record. 14 You are still under oath. 15 THE WITNESS: Edward Walters, W-a-1-t-e-r-s. 16 17 DIRECT EXAMINATION 18 BY MR. FLYNN: 19 Mr. Walters, there has been testimony in this 20 case relative to the Ethics and Justice System of the Church 21 of Scientology and we have had numerous exhibits which were 22 introduced regarding that system; were you familiar with 23 the Ethics and Justice System of the Church of 24 Scientology? 25 Yes. A 26 Have you had extensive training in connection 27

with that system?

Yes.

A

1	
2	
3	
4	
4	
_	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
0	
10	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
10	
15	
10	
16	
10	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
-	
22	
22	
00	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

Q Wha	t did	your	traini	ng	involve?
-------	-------	------	--------	----	----------

- A Well, I have done every auditing course up to the highest of Class VIII. And I have studied the Ethics course all the way up. And I have functioned as an Ethics officer.
- Q And you have already testified as to how many years you were involved, roughly from 1970 to 1979 in the organization?
 - A Yes.
- Q During that period of time did you have experiences on almost a daily basis as to how the Ethics and Justice System worked in connection with staff members and how it worked in regard to the Guardian's Office?
 - A Yes.
- Q And would you describe to the Court how the Ethics and Justice System worked in connection with the public, staff, and Guardian's Office personnel?
- MR. HARRIS: Is it different as to each one? Otherwise it would be compound and calling for a conclusion.
 - MR. FLYNN: They are interrelated, Your Honor.
 - THE COURT: Overruled.
- THE WITNESS: Our basic tool in Scientology is auditing, the actual selling of the auditing and also the training of people to become auditors. That is our basic thing that we do.
- One, you need ethics so that there is a set of discipline in Scientology so that an individual

8 9

Now when I entered Scientology, I thoroughly agreed with that. We had to keep a person ethical. We had to keep him on purpose, not committing crimes, not doing anything that would make him an immoral person. That would be for his benefit so his auditing would work.

All right, then, there is another side of ethics. Ethics also is to keep the individual on our purpose, the third dynamic purpose. I don't know if the court is familiar with the dynamics, but the third dynamic purpose of Scientology —

Q That is the group purpose?

A The group purpose, which is much more difficult because the group purpose is perpetrated in lies so that you see in this courtroom, you have heard all of the higher ups and the secret basis and so forth, but out in the field where I am, where we deal with the public people, we have sons of lawyers. We have sons of doctors. We have young people come in who are off the streets. These are not these dedicated Scientologists that you see here who believe anything.

When an individual comes in, our problem is to try to make him a Scientologist, sell him as much auditing for the money that he has, if possible have him buy courses to become an auditor. But we have got to worry that at any time he finds out that things may not be the way he thought they were, we now have a new ethics problem.

We have ethics, meaning a danger to the third dynamic. In the field I can't have the son of a lawyer

4 5

going to his father and saying that we are asking him to sell his home or we are asking him to disconnect, not talk to his father anymore because his father disagrees with Scientology and/or he read things and policies where Scientology is above the justice system, et cetera. So, now, we have to handle that.

In the Guardian's office that becomes

Guardian's office property. That is a danger to Scientology.

So, ethics at that point is to put the individual into a position where he realizes he is doing something that is going to cause a threat to the organization. It is no accident that the lower conditions are called enemy, liability, and as in previous testimony, if the individual does not see this, we will do what it takes for him to get to see it. It depends where he is. If he is brand new, we have to go very easy because he could walk out on us any time.

If he walks out, now we have got a flap, and in the Guardian's office then we'd have to, if we believe he is suppressive, we declare him suppressive, which means he has crimes, so we have to find the crimes.

If he is going to go to a lawyer, then we have a flap. So anyway out in the field where I work, it is a different ethics. One, there is — — it helps his auditing. It keeps him — — we can't have a guy out doing immoral things while he is getting auditing because we are telling him auditing is for his benefit, but the other side of it is ethics is used for the organization, design to find the

enemy. To keep the person in line? Q A Yes. Now, with regard to the fair game doctrine as it is understood by someone who is just a public person taking courses, would you describe to the court how a person is instructed as to what the fair game doctrine means when they first come into the organization and become aware of it? A Well, when they first come in, we hope that they never get aware of it.

f

Q Our job is not to tell them about that. I mean if the person will come in and do his courses and give us his money, we'll not threaten or abuse them in any way. We don't have -- none of that comes up unless the person becomes a liability or a threat to the organization.

Q All right.

MR. HARRIS: Move to strike the answer as nonresponsive to the question, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I'll deny the motion.

Q BY MR. FLYNN: Now, most people when they come in and start auditing, what do they know with regard to the organizational structure of the Church and what is done, for example, with their information given up in a session, an auditing session?

MR. HARRIS: I'll object.

THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection to the form of the question.

He can tell what they are told. What anyone might know calls for a conclusion.

Q BY MR. FLYNN: What are people generally told with regard to the nature of the auditing process just when they start?

A When they start --

MR. HARRIS: By this witness, or -- is he generalizing at this point, Your Honor?

THE COURT: I think he has some background and knowledge.

MR. HARRIS: May I voir dire him, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY MR. HARRIS:

Q Were you ever a staff member of any organization, Mr. Walters?

A As a paid staff, no. I have held every position in the Orgs.

Q In what Orgs?

A In Celebrity Center, Las Vegas.

Q You were on staff at the time?

A No. I was volunteer staff.

MR. HARRIS: No further questions.

DIRECT EXAMINATION (Resumed)

BY MR. FLYNN:

Q Now, in the initial stages when someone first comes in, Mr. Walters, what are they basically told about what the auditing process is?

A An individual comes in; we do an interview with him to find out if he is one of two types of people; if he has sickness, illness, or aberrations, we tell him that we have the cure for it; that L. Ron Hubbard has found the single source of all aberrations, et cetera. And we line him up to get processing.

If he comes in looking to find a new life or to help his fellow man or he is interested in the technology and the sciences, then we would line him up to study the

technology of L. Ron Hubbard. So it depends on which one we have.

Q Now, when a person begins the auditing process what are they told with regard to what is done with the information that they give up in the auditing process?

MR. HARRIS: Again, this calls for hearsay unless it is what this witness told somebody.

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: It is the D of P interview which I have done many times.

- Q BY MR. FLYNN: Director of Processing?
- A Yes. And we tell the individual that we can handle his case; whatever illness or sickness or problem he has with his family, in fact, whatever he has, we can handle it.

Then we tell him that he'll be audited by an individual and he should feel free to discuss anything with that individual. It will be held totally confidential. In the early '70's we would say -- we would tell him nobody would ever see it. We wouldn't even tell him about the CS.

- Q Case supervisor?
- A Yes, case supervisor.

Things changed as district attorneys and people like that started looking into us.

In the middle '70's and the early '70's we were told to tell them that the CS would look at it and the CS would hold it confidential and nobody sees it but them.

Then when we had more legal troubles by the mid-'70's, we were told then that nobody could see it and because we were an applied religious philosophy, which were the exact words to use, and it would be protected and so they were to feel free to discuss whatever was needed.

Q Now, for the most part did auditors or pre-clears who came in know that the Guardian's Office was culling files?

A No.

MR. HARRIS: I'll object to that. That calls for a conclusion.

THE COURT: To your knowledge; you can answer that.

THE WITNESS: I know specifically that we tried very hard to make sure they didn't know. Most auditors didn't know.

Q BY MR. FLYNN: Now, what were the powers within the organization of the Guardian's Office with regard to getting access to pre-clear folders?

MR. HARRIS: Again, I'll object, Your Honor, as calling for a conclusion at this point.

THE COURT: Well, to the extent you have knowledge of this, you can answer. If you don't, you can so state.

Personal knowledge.

THE WITNESS: All right. I have personal knowledge of the Guardian's Office in Las Vegas going over and asking for any folder that they wanted. It all depended on the circumstances.

If it was a brand new person, you probably

wouldn't walk right in or even go to the auditors.

You see, we are training auditors also. If the

auditors knew that we were going through these folders, well, they would leave.

We are telling them that everything is -- so we might have to wait until the end of the day.

If we had a D of P who was programmed enough to do anything that we told her, him or her, we just said give us so and so's folders and they gave it to us.

'

Q Now with regard to PC folder data actually being used against people that was culled from the folders without their knowledge, did you have personal knowledge of such instances?

A Yes.

MR. HARRIS: Your Honor, I think that this was all on direct. We didn't cross-examine the witness with respect to that.

THE COURT: Well, this is rebuttal. It was done very sketchily on direct. I think we limited it to just very brief recitation of the fair game doctrine and how it was applied.

There's been testimony on rebuttal to the contrary, so I guess it more of a full explanation.

MR. HARRIS: Well the problem is, Your Honor, in respect to what Mr. Walters knows in Las Vegas hasn't anything to do with Mr. Walters —— hasn't anything to do with Mr. Armstrong and hasn't anything to do with any of the witnesses that were here in respect to the items that are relevant.

Let's admit we are getting into a situation where numerous instances, no doubt, will be testified to by this witness which I have some doubts about. At least, it is a 352able item at this point.

THE COURT: Well, I would feel that it is circumstantial evidence.

There is also the Guardian's directive that Mary Sue Hubbard authored. It is in evidence.

At this point I will receive it. If we get 1 too far afield, the court may change its position. At any 2 rate, let's go forward. 3 BY MR. FLYNN: Do you have actual knowledge, Mr. Walters, first of the policy of the Guardian's office 5 to cull PC folders to get information to use it against 6 people? 7 Yes. 8 And what is that policy? 9 THE COURT: Of course, this is up to '79. 10 MR. HARRIS: Yes, Your Honor, '79 in Las Vegas. 11 BY MR. FLYNN: This is between '70 and '79, 0 12 Mr. Walters? 13 A Yes. 14 And what is the policy? 15 The policy is that if a person comes on our 16 line or a person is a threat to the organization and suppressive, 17 say we declared a person suppressive, then we'd know from 18 19 L. Ron Hubbard's technology that a suppressive has crimes, hidden crimes, that he is probably connected with the 20 enemy, et cetera. So the first place we look for crimes 21 22 is in his PC folder. We take those out. We actually do three things to the folders. 23 We take the crimes out of the folders. We do a survey for 24 buttons to see what the individual is reactive to. We 25 also make a list of terminals that are friends or any 26 27 connection to authority, district attorneys, psychiatrists

of any kind.

When you say "a survey for buttons," what do 1 0 2 you mean by that? Well the individuals remember are coming in 3 for counseling and they are usually in emotional turmoil, 5 et cetera and there are certain things they are looking to protect, they are very worried about, and if a wife is 6 7 having marital problems but she's had an affair on the side 8 and she tells her auditor about it, well we would take that 9 and put that down, knowing that if she ever went to a 10 lawyer we could call her in, and I have participated personally 11 in this, where we would tell the person, "Well, now, you 12 don't want to go to a lawyer because if you want to do this, 13 we will be glad to sue and we will be glad to bring your 14 files out and show the public what type of person you are." If we are lucky, have nobody married to a 15 16 lawyer or connected to a lawyer, the average person would 17 leave and not cause us any problem, and then usually we . 18 didn't do anything after that. 19 But this is how it was used? 20 Yes. 21 And you personally participated in numerous 22 such? 23 Yes. 24 Can you give the names of at least one 25 individual who had his PC data taken to newspapers, the 26 police? 27 I will just give you the latest. It was the

reason I left Scientology; Ernie Hartwell.

Of

He came to me when he fled the base where
Hubbard was hiding out, and he came to me telling me that
Hubbard was psychotic and screaming and that he had threatened
him and he was afraid for his life, and being a good
Scientologist at the time, I took him by the hand down to
the Guardian's office and told two individuals there,
Jack Gaye and Bruce Hamilton that this guy is saying
some things that are going to get us in a lot of trouble and
we are going to have a flap.

And then typically Jack Gaye and Bruce Hamilton went nuts themselves and started thinking he was connected to the enemy and started thinking I was connected to Hartwell, and I knew then we were in serious trouble. I called Ardie Marron in Los Angeles to get him up here.

Then Ardie Marron talked to me and they started the operation on Hartwell, which was to take his stuff out of the folders. They told the police and the Review Journal in Las Vegas that he was a murderer. Ardie told me about that. They were getting Hartwell — they had his buttons, and to be honest with you, Ernie's buttons could be pushed very easily.

10-1

They had his buttons and that had Ardie becoming -- acting pretty nutty and screaming. And they were recording. They were recording it. And they would set it up so it would look like he was blackmailing the Church. And Ardie was telling me in a six-hour walk we took, trying to handle me, and I was just fed up to here with all the crimes and stuff and hearing this -- hearing about L. Ron Hubbard, who I gave nine years of my life to and a lot of money --

I told Ardie, "This has got to stop, Ardie. I mean we are doing things. We can end up in jail."

The turning point was Ardie threatening me, threatening to kill me; threatening that he could handle me. I said --

My house is about ten blocks from the police department. I started walking toward the police department.

Ardie said, "For everything you say we'll get ten others to say the opposite."

He said, "Eddie, L. Ron Hubbard is not going to go down because of this."

I said, "Ardie, I'm going to handle this from the inside or the outside."

And that was the turning point, when Ardie Marin, the highest public official in Scientology, started fearing for himself; started begging me that he was going to get in trouble; started telling me that he would get hung and be on the RPF. I couldn't believe it, Ardie Marin.

I -- forget all that.

10

12

11

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

1	The next day me and seven others went to the
2	FBI.
3	MR. FLYNN: No further questions.
4	THE COURT: You may cross-examine.
5	MR. HARRIS: Thank you, Your Honor.
6	
7	CROSS-EXAMINATION
8	BY MR. HARRIS:
9	Q Mr. Walters, you have testified about how many
10	times so far in various forums?
11	A What do you mean? I testified once in the IRS
12	case where you were the lawyer, yes.
13	Q Yes.
14	A Then they pulled me into depositions about every
15	month.
16	Q You testified in Clearwater?
17	A Yes.
18	Q And you have at the time that you were in
19	Las Vegas, you were volunteer staff, you say, at the Celebrity
20	Center?
21	A Yes, I was.
22	Q CS for four years?
23	A Yes.
24	Q As CS you would get folders of people?
25	A Every day.
26	Q And you weren't located inside the building that
27	housed the Celebrity Center; is that correct?
28	A Yes, I was.

0-3 You had an office in there? Q Yes. A During that period of time --Q By the way, when did Celebrity Center start? '75, '76. I -- somewhere around there. A And was that a part of the Church of Scientology of Las Vegas? Well, now we get into, you know, what is --A

11/1

To Plant B	
1	Q Well, did you
2	A We were under their direction if an executive
3	came over or the Guardian's office came over. But yet we
4	reported to Celebrity Center in Los Angeles.
5	Q You reported to Celebrity Center in Los Angeles:
6	A My senior did.
7	Q Well, who did you report to?
8	A To Betty Maricammi who was the CO.
9	Q The CO of what?
10	A Celebrity Center of Las Vegas.
11	Q And from 1970 until 1975 were you a staff
12	member of any organization?
13	A Do you mean like a contracted staff?
14	Q Yes.
15	A No.
16	Q You were volunteer staff?
17	A Yes.
18	Q And what organization was that that you were
19	a volunteer staff for?
20	A Well at the Las Vegas organization usually
21	off and on. Whenever there was trouble or they were having
22	problems, they would call me in and I would help out.
23	Q This was on
24	A I couldn't be on staff as an executive in a
25	casino. I couldn't work 14 hours a day. I couldn't buy
26	my services.
27	Q You were an executive in a casino?
28	

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q A Q	And that was from 1970 to 1975? That I was an executive in a casino?
3 4 5 6 7		That I was an executive in a casino?
4 5 6 7	Q	
5 6 7		Yes.
6	A	No, all during '75, too.
7	Q	And from '75 to '79 were you working in
	casinos?	
8	A	Yes.
	Q	In other words, all during the period '70
9	through '79 y	ou were working in casinos?
10	A	Yes, I worked in the casino during the day, if
11	I was working	, and at night I went over the CS folders
12	or to do ethi	cs or to handle whatever we needed to handle.
13	Q	And during the time were you also a professiona
14	pool player?	
15	A	At that time, no. I gave up playing in
16	played the la	st tournament around '60 I think '66.
17	No, '70, arou	and 1970.
18	Q	And were you known as Fast Eddie?
19	A	A lot of people said that, yes.
20	Q	And at the time that you got into Scientology,
21	Mr. Walters,	which was what, 1970?
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	In 1970 you went to the Las Vegas organization
24	for your Scie	entology?
25	A	No.
26	Q	All right. Where did you go?
27	A	I went first to an organization, a mission
28	formed by Llo	yd and Frank Freedman. Frank Freedman was an

2f

individual that I had trained as a dealer, a 21 dealer in a casino. He was trying to make money for his Scientology processing. When he came back, I went to his mission which lasted about six months.

Then I went over to the Las Vegas Org . . . and took the Dianetics course there.

1	Q So you first got into Scientology by way of a
2	mission run by Mr. Freedman?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And during that period of time, during that
5	six-month period of time I take it you had no contact with
6	anyone from the Guardian's Office?
7	A No.
8	Q And when you went to the Las Vegas organization
9	how long did you stay there before you had any contact with
10	anyone from the Guardian's Office?
11	A Well, it was very early on, very early on that
12	I was approached, first, by Susan Reed.
13	Q What year was that?
14	A Well, I'd have to say it was '71, possibly.
15	Q In 1971 you were approached by Susan Reed? Did
16	she ask you to join the Guardian's Office? Yes or no.
17	A Say, just like that, no.
18	Q At some point, Mr. Walters, did you become a
19	member of the staff of the Guardian's Office in Las Vegas?
20	MR. FLYNN: Well
21	THE WITNESS: I'll tell you exactly what happened.
22	Q BY MR. HARRIS: Tell me if you became a member
23	of the staff of the Guardian's Office of Las Vegas,
24	Mr. Walters.
25	THE COURT: Paid or volunteer?
26	MR. HARRIS: Either.
27	THE WITNESS: That is a difficult question. I would
28	say no. I didn't have to do what they if they asked me,

27

28

I wouldn't have to do -- if they asked me something, no. 1 Let me ask you this: during the time that you 2 were volunteer staff at the Las Vegas organization, which would have been from the period of 1971 through roughly 1975; is that correct? 5 A Say that again? 6 Were you a volunteer staff at the Las Vegas 7 organization from roughly '71 through '75? 8 I wouldn't say it like that, no. Because it 9 sounds like I was on volunteer staff during all of that time. 10 And I wasn't. 11 What would you say the number of times a week 12 that you would come in during the period 1971 through 1975 13 was to the Las Vegas organization? 14 A Oh, in and out, I would be in every week; 15 16 sometimes every day. How many hours would you work as a volunteer 17 18 staff from 1971 through '75 average per week? 19 By "work on staff" --Yes. You were a volunteer staff. 20 21 THE WITNESS: I have to explain something. He knows 22 why. I was listed in '71 as an intelligence agent to operate outside of the staff. I was not to be known to the staff 23 24 or to anyone that I was an intelligence agent. That is the 25 reason I signed no contract.

or show anybody I was on staff.

Q BY MR. HARRIS: Did you, nevertheless, work in

So you know that I could not sign any contracts

1	the Las Vegas organization as a CS during 1971 through '75?
2	A No.
3	Q Never; is that right?
4	A As a CS, no. I wasn't a CS.
5	Q All right. Were you an auditor in the Las Vegas
6	organization from '71 to '75 on a voluntary basis?
7	A Just very rarely. Very rarely.
8	Q You had a field practice; is that correct?
9	A Right.
10	Q So you operated out of your house; is that
11	correct?
12	A right.
13	Q And while operating out of your house you were
14	essentially an auditor; is that correct?
15	A Right.
16	Q The public that you dealt with were not the
17	public within the Las Vegas organization for service;
18	right?
19	A Not necessarily, no.
20	My job was to audit people for them to go to
21	the Las Vegas Org.
22	Q When they had completed a certain level of
23	auditing at your house, then you would send them to the
24	Las Vegas organization; right?
25	A If they needed something from there, yes.
26	Q So insofar as the practice of the registrar at
27	the Las Vegas organization, did you hold that post?
28	A At the Las Vegas Org?

1	Q Yes.
2	A No; at the Celebrity Center.
3	Q You held the post of registrar at the Celebrity
4	Center?
5	A If you put it as "hold the post," I could not.
6	I could not hold any post after '71, after being contacted
7	and set up as an intelligence agent. I could not hold any
8	post in the Org. That was my instructions.
9	Q And you were not to be even known to the
10	organization; is that what you said?
11	A I could be known and help in any way, but I was
12	to be available for other things.
13	Q Now, when you were a registrar at the Celebrity
14	Center in Las Vegas when was that?
15	A I did regging off and on all the time I was
16	there.
17	Q When you say off and on all the time you were
18	there, you were there on a daily basis?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Now, this is from '75 to '79?
21	A Approximately those dates.
22	Q Did you volunteer for any executive positions
23	within the Celebrity Center?
24	A No.
25	Q Had you volunteered for any executive positions
26	in the Las Vegas organization?
27	A No.
28	O I thought you just told the Court that you had

1	Q In fact, there was a commanding officer not an
2	executive director at the Celebrity Center; isn't that right,
3	Mr. Walters?
4	A No it was an executive director; Betty Maricamm
5	Q In your direct testimony didn't you state
6	that she was the CO?
7	A Well, she was Sea Org, so she had a Sea Org
8	title of Commanding Officer.
9	Q And she didn't have the title of executive
10	director; isn't that right?
11	A Yes, she did. That is what we told the
12	public she was executive director. If we told them it was
13	Commanding Officer, they would wonder whether we were running
14	a Navy.
15	THE COURT: That is rather a dry dock, Las Vegas.
16	THE WITNESS: That is one of our problems we had in
17	the middle of the desert. We'd have people dressed up in
18	Navy outfits, so within the organization we called her
19	Commanding Officer, but anybody walking in we'd have to say
20	executive director.
21	Q BY MR. HARRIS: Mr. Walters, at the time that
22	you held every post in the organization at Celebrity Center,
23	were you doing this on a part-time basis?
24	A Yes.
25	Q And you would fill in wherever needed; is
26	that correct?
27	A Yeah, whenever there was you see we had

staff that would come in, that would be brand new. Would

find out what we were doing and leave or they'd get blown 1 off because of severe ethics, or they would just have 2 emotional breakdowns and leave, and since - - you are talking 3 about very few people, Betty and I would do whatever it was 4 to keep it going. You just do whatever you have to do to keep 5 the line going, so if you have to supervise, you do it. 6 And during this period of time when you were 7 doing whatever you could, I take it you were a dedicated 8 Scientologist; is that correct? 9 10 A Yes. And at the time that you were doing all you 11 could and holding all these posts in the organization, you 12 were in your own mind lying to the people who came in? 13 At the time, no. 14 15 0 You believed in what you were doing; is that 16 correct? Absolutely. 17 A Totally? 18 0 What do you mean by "totally"? 19 Well you wouldn't have done it unless you 20 0 totally believed it; right? 21 Not that simple. I mean, if they asked me to-22 I would tell an individual that we could cure any illness he 23 24 had because we had found the single source all aberration. 25 I wouldn't kill Paulette Cooper if they asked me, so you 26 can't say total. I wouldn't walk off the Empire State

Let me ask you this: Did you at the time that

27

28

Building if they asked me.

Q

you were talking to these people who had just come into 1 Scientology, did you believe what you were telling them? 2 A Yes. 3 So you weren't in your own mind lying to them 4 at the time that you talked to them; is that correct? 5 6 MR. FLYNN: About what? Objection, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Well I will sustain the objection. It 8 may be a little too broad. 9 Q BY MR. HARRIS: You were aware of policy in the Las Vegas organization that you do not tell anyone that 10 you could cure their illness; isn't that correct, Mr. Walters? 11 There is policy that says that. 12 A 13 Q But you ignored it; is that right? 14 A We all did. We were told to ignore it. 15 You see, when we have legal problems and 16 a policy comes out not to do something, then that means that 17 we are not supposed to say it in that way, but we are supposed 18 to still get this person to understand that we can handle 19 any problem or illness he has. Every reg operated like 20 that. 21 Can you tell me any person that you personally 22 regged? 23 MR. FLYNN: Celebrity or any person? 24 0 BY MR. HARRIS: Any person. Give me one. 25 That I regged? 26 Yes. 0 27 A You want celebrities? What do you want? 28 At the Celebrity Center. 0

1	A I personally handled Lou Rawls.
2	Q You regged Lou Rawls; is that correct?
3	A Yeah, me and Betty did.
4	Q And did you tell Mr. Rawls that you could
5	cure some illness that he had, Mr. Walters?
6	A No, because he didn't have an illness.
7	Q Now tell me any person that you regged at
8	the Celebrity Center, give me the name of a person who you
9	said you could cure his illness?
10	A Oh, I can't remember what I said to each
11	person.
12	Q Can you remember any person, Mr. Walters, that
13	you told you could cure his illness while you were
14	volunteering as a registrar at the Celebrity Center?
15	A I would have to go back to the files at CC.
16	Q You can't tell me as you sit there now?
17	A I handled hundreds. Until I go back
18	Q Give me one of them, Mr. Walters, just one.
19	A Let me think of somebody back at CC.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
	· In Section : 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.

Kathy Cade; Reed Linton; Troy Barberglas;

Q Which one did you give to Chuck?

1	A I couldn't definitely say.
2	Q Which one did you give to Maddie?
3	A That is why I can't say. I don't know which one
4	I gave to either one. But we did it together. I mean it
5	is
6	Q When you wrote these crimes down on individuals
7	from the PC folders, you would give them to Chuck or Maddie
8	in each instance?
9	A Yes. I would usually just leave them there.
10	They kept all the folders.
11	Q Now, did you personally on any of these PC
12	folders' crimes that you culled, go to the person whose
13	crimes you had culled?
14	A Did I?
15	Q Yes; did you.
16	A No. I didn't handle that.
17	Q You didn't go to any individual and threaten the
18	individual with the crimes; is that correct?
19	A No. I
20	Q And you personally participated in crimes
21	yourself; is that right?
22	A Yes.
23	Q And you got immunity from the FBI so that you
24	could tell them about all the crimes within which you had
25	participated; is that right?
26	A I think so, yes.
27	Q And without going into the specifics, how many
28	crimes did you tell the FBI you had participated in prior

```
to your getting immunity?
1
                 Well, by talking to them about crimes, they broke
      it up.
3
           Q Give me the number that you told them.
           A Crimes --
5
           THE COURT: He just --
6
           THE WITNESS: I didn't tell them a number.
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
```

1	Q BY MR. HARRIS: You told them a series of
2	crimes, and you have an idea in your mind about how many
3	that was; don't you?
4	A Yeah. If I look at it like that, yeah, I
5	could figure it out.
6	Q Okay. Did you give a written statement to the
7	PBI after you got immunity?
8	A I don't think so.
9	Q Did you talk with FBI agents while a stenograph
10	was present?
11	A No, I believe there was two FBI men in the room
12	It was at FBI headquarters in Las Vegas.
13	Q Did you subsequently see a report that either
14	of these FBI agents made which you agreed with?
15	A No, I didn't see it.
16	Q Did you see them writing notes?
17	A I don't remember. It was very traumatic at the
18	time.
19	Q Did you appear before a judge to get immunity?
20	A No.
21	Q Were you told by some US attorney that you
22	had immunity?
23	A Not in that sense, no.
24	Q Did you ever get any written piece of paper
25	that said, "You are immune from prosecution for all those
26	crimes you committed"?
27	A No.
28	O So as you sit there now what are you relying

on as far as your immunity?

I am not even worried about it. I will tell 1 the truth, and if that is what it takes. 2 All right. Specifically then what is the first crime that you committed? The first? 5 The first. 6 THE COURT: Are we talking about a civil crime or 7 something that is contrary to the laws of the State or 8 United States or are we talking about something within 9 10 Scientology? MR. HARRIS: I am talking about all of the crimes, 11 Your Honor, of this State so far as he knew and the 12 Federal Government so far as he knew. 13 MR. FLYNN: What he understands a crime to be, 14 including conspiracy to commit murder, Your Honor? 15 THE COURT: Well I assume that we are talking about 16 a transaction of a particular nomenclature. It might vary 17 from state to state or jurisdiction to jurisdiction, and 18 we are not going to spend our lives on this. Just start 19 and tell us a few and then answer the question. 20 THE WITNESS: All right. Give you an example. 21 22 Chuck Reese and I and Maddy Reese went to 23 St. Rose of Lima Hospital in Henderson because through some of their intel they had found that they were going to 24 hold a Mental Health Association meeting there and we 25 26 planted a tape recording device underneath the table. 27 Did you do that personally? 0

28

A

Yes.

1	Q	You went into this location and you planted a
2	tape recorder	underneath the table?
3	A	Right.
4	Q	All right, and did you record the conversation
5	that occurred	there?
6	A	Oh, I am sure I did.
7	Q	Well, did you get a tape of the conversation?
8	A	I watched the tape being transcribed by
9	Chuck Reese t	o be sent to Los Angeles to the Guardian's
10	office.	
11	Q	Did you listen to the tape?
12	A	No we left the room.
13	Q	Did you go back and retrieve the tape
14	recorder?	
15	A	No, that wasn't my job.
16	Q	You just left it there?
17	A	Yeah.
18	Q	So far as you know, it is still there?
19	A	As far as I know, no.
20	Q	All right, did you retrieve the tape from
21	the tape reco	rder?
22	A	No, I believe Chuck Reese did.
23	Q	When you say you believe Chuck Reese did,
24	did you see h	im do it?
25	A	No, I participated in discussions of him
26	telling how he	handled the situation.
27	Q	Now, when you went in there to plant the
28	tape recorder	did you pick a lock to do it?

1	A No.
2	Q Was the place open?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And you walked into the room where you thought
5	this was going to happen and you put a tape recorder
6	underneath the table; right?
7	A Yes.
8	Q So what happened to the tape so far as you
9	know?
10	A As far as I know, Chuck Reese typed it up.
11	They sent to US GO with the data to be sent to Flag and
12	the Hubbards for evaluation.
13	Q Now this is something that you observed on
14	the routing?
15	A I wasn't standing behind him. I am listening
16	to him and Maddy talk about what they needed to do.
17	Q You didn't have anything to do as far as
18	sending the transcript anyplace; right?
19	A That is right.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

6f

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

What is the next -- by the way, did you know whether or not it was against the law to do what you did at that time?

No, I didn't.

All right. What is the next crime that you committed, Mr. Walters?

Well, the next one I can think of is sitting and participating in discussion -- Bruce Raymond and Maddie Reese called me into the Guardian's Office. And Maddie Reese --Susan Reed, Maddie Reese, and Bruce Raymond called me into the Guardian's Office and said they were still hysterical about Paulette Cooper and her book.

And Bruce Raymond was starting to tell me about, "You are from New York, Eddie. And you know New York pretty well."

I said, "Yes."

And Susan was giving me the thing again about how important it is to go clear and to help destroy the enemies that were out to get Hubbard and that were leading in a direction. And Paulette Cooper's book must be stopped at any cost, something designed to permanently handle her. I don't know if it was poison or something, that she would be done away with. And leading in a direction that I would possibly go there.

- And did you do that? Q
- A No.
- Q You declined?
- It is not that simple. A

1	Q 1	But you didn't go and poison Paulette Cooper;
2	did you?	
3	A 1	No, I didn't.
4	Q	And you saw Paulette Cooper down at Clearwater
5	just last Ma	y?
6	A	Yes, I did.
7	Q	She is still alive and well?
8	A	And I was amazed.
9	Q I	What is the next crime that you committed,
10	Mr. Walters?	
11	A	All right. Then we had a Dr. O'Gorman,
12	Dr. William	O'Gorman, head of the Psychiatric Association
13	in Las Vegas	
14		Something had come from Los Angeles to Las Vegas
15	that there w	as a big conspiracy that the Psychiatric
16	Association	was connected with, either AMA and Inter Pol and
17	that they was	nted me to go in and act as a patient.
18	Ω 1	Who is "they"?
19	A	Susan and Maddie, I think, were in the room.
20	Q	Susan and Maddie had a conversation with you in
21	which they a	sked you to do something with respect to
22	Dr. O'Gorman	?
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	Did you see any orders in connection with that?
25	A	They had a OPS in Susan's hands.
26	Q	Did you actually look at it to determine what
27	you were supp	posed to do?
28	A	No. They told me.

Q When you say they told you, was there someone
specifically who told you what to do?
A Susan and Maddie.
Q Both of them simultaneously?
A Yes, sitting there and having a discussion on
what they wanted me to do.
Q And pursuant to what they wanted you to do, you
did do something?
A Yes.
Q And what did you do?
A I went over to Dr. William O'Gorman's place;
we knew where it was because Chuck Reese had been following
him for weeks trying to plant a girl on him.
So Chuck drove me over; showed me his office.
I went in there and I saw the secretary.
I said, "I want to see Dr. O'Gorman."
I went in to Dr. O'Gorman. He started talking
to me and my thing was supposed to be that I wanted to find
out about possibly getting some help and that I had been
bothered by a bunch of different groups. And I was to mention
some different groups.
Q Did you mention those groups to Dr. O'Gorman?
A I remember exactly.
Q Do you remember the conversation that you had
with Dr. O'Gorman?
A In you know, generally, yes.
Q Did you attempt to get treatment from

Dr. O'Gorman?

1	A It didn't go in that direction.
2	Q Did you tape record your meeting with the
3	doctor?
4	A Yes, I did.
5	Q And that was your tape recorder that was used;
6	is that right?
7	A Yes.
8	Q And what did you do with the tape?
9	A I brought it back to Maddie, Chuck, and Susan,
10	I think.
11	And then the next day, the next few days, I would
12	go in and listen to what
13	Q What the tape said?
14	A No. I would listen to what they had either done
15	with it or what they had planned to do with it.
16	Q Were you aware at the time that you went into
17	the doctor's office with a tape recorder on that it was
18	against the law in Las Vegas strike that in Nevada to
19	tape record such a conversation?
20	A I never even thought of it. I was willing to
21	do whatever it took to protect us against the enemies. I
22	didn't think of it.
23	MR. HARRIS: May we take a break, Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: We'll take 15 minutes.
25	(Recess.)
26	

THE COURT: All right, in the case on trial let the 1 record reflect that counsel are present. 2 The witness has retaken the stand. Just state 3 your name again for the record, sir. You are still under 4 oath. 5 THE WITNESS: Edward Walters. THE COURT: You may continue, Mr. Harris. 7 MR. HARRIS: Thank you, Your Honor. Now, Mr. Walters, this tape that you made of 9 Dr. O'Gorman, did you personally to go O'Gorman and 10 threaten to blackmail him with it? 11 Did I? No. 12 Now what ethics and justice course did you 13 take? 14 A 15 I took ethics course at Las Vegas. And what was the name of that? 16 At that time it was called Ethics Hat. 17 18 an Ethics Hat, and then an Ethics Course. 19 0 The Bthics Hat, was that the hat of an ethics officer? 20 21 Yes. 22 0 And you completed that; is that correct? Yes. 23 And then you took an ethics course; where 24 was that? 25 26 At Celebrity Center I did an ethics course. 27 Celebrity Center, Las Vegas? 28 A Yes.

3£

1
2
-
3
4
4
5
6
7
8
9
9
10
11
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
20
21
22
22
23
04
24
25

	Q	And how long did that course take?
	A	Well, with me a few weeks because I was there
at ni	ght.	
	Q	And I take it that you passed the course
succe	ssfully	?
	A	Yes.
	Q	Any other ethics courses that you took?
	A	The ethics part of the Class 8. There is an
ethic	s secti	on on the ethics and justice systems of
Scien	tology	on the Class 8 course.
	Q	And the name of the course that was the ethica
cours	e that	you took at Celebrity Center was what?
	A	I forget. I forget the name of it at the
time.		
	Q	And I take it then as this volunteer ethics
offic	er, you	declared people; right?
	A	No.

18-1 You never declared anybody as a volunteer Ethics 0 1 2 Officer? No. 3 Did you go personally to any declared person and 4 threaten them with the data from their PC file? 5 Me personally? 6 A 7 0 Yes. No. 8 A Did you go to any declared person and commit some 9 offense against them, you personally? 10 A No. 11 You didn't -- strike that. 12 Did you have a list of people who were declared 13 that you had with you at any time in Las Vegas? 14 15 Yes. There was always a current list of SP's, 16 yes. 17 0 Can you tell me did you carry this list on your 18 person? 19 A No. Q Did you have it at your house? 20 I think I have had one or two at the house, 21 A 22 yes. 23 And, Mr. Walters, I take it on this O'Gorman tape you came back to the organization and you told all the 24 25 public and the staff about what you had done; is that 26 correct? 27 No. It was designed not to tell the public,

28

staff. They would leave.

1	Q So you told no public; that is, public
2	Scientologists who were there and you told none of the staff;
3	is that correct?
4	A General staff?
5	Q That's right.
6	A No.
7	Q Now, in these Ethics handlings that you did as
8	a volunteer Ethics officer did you have the purpose when
9	doing these handlings simply to protect the organization?
10	A As a sole reason?
11	Q Yes.
12	A No.
13	Q Did you have at the time that you were doing
14	these Ethics handlings an idea that the person could be
15	helped by your Ethics handling?
16	A Yes. I believed that, yes.
17	Q And insofar as your belief at the time it was
18	the belief that you were going to make these people more
19	able and better; is that correct?
20	A Well, if somebody is flapping, causing trouble,
21	or just somebody in Ethics?
22	Q Let's divide them into two classes, the ones that
23	weren't flapping; you were using a Scientology Ethics
24	system in order to help them; is that correct?
25	A Help them remain a Scientologist, yes.
26	Q And help them as individuals; right?
27	A Yes.

And those that were flapping, as you say, your

purpose in giving them an Ethics handling was to keep them in the organization, so they wouldn't flap; is that right?

- A No. It was more than that.
- Q What was the dominant purpose of giving them an Ethics handling?
- A To find out how severe the flap was; was there any possible danger or alleged threat to the organization; if so, to get that information to the Guardian's Office immediately for handling.
- Now, the specific persons who were causing a flap that you handled ethically and passed on the information to the Guardian's Office was who?
 - A Give me that again?

19/1

3333

28

her?

1	Q You participated in handling people who were
2	a flap; right?
3	A At different times, yes.
4	Q Now when was the first time?
5	A I can't remember the first time.
6	Q Can you remember the year in which it occurred?
7	A I probably handled somebody let's see
8	by '74 I was, I think, a Class 6 at the time helping a bit
9	on ethics and I
10	Q And this was at the Las Vegas organization?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Now, what was the name of the person who was
13	a flap at that time that you used ethics handling on?
14	A Okay. I can remember a Kathy Cade.
15	Q Public or staff?
16	A She was staff.
17	Q And what was the flap?
18	A The flap, as I remember, was she was married,
19	I believe to one staff member, but having let's say
20	an out 2D with another staff member, which was causing the
21	other staff member to get upset and possibly thinking of
22	leaving, and our public people were starting to notice this
23	because the other staff member was a supervisor and she was
24	caved in, and we are trying we have the means to handle
25	the planet and yet the supervisor is crying, and so I had to
26	get in and handle Kathy.
27	O And in the handling of Kathy, did you threaten

1	A Matter of degree.
2	Q Well did you tell her that she was causing
3	problems in the organization?
4	A Started out in that direction, yes.
5	Q And during this ethics handling, did you give
6	her a sec check?
7	A No it wasn't needed, no.
8	Q Who was the next person that you recall handling
9	as a flap?
10	MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, I am going to object. We
11	will be here for
12	THE COURT: I'm going to sustain the objections.
13	MR. HARRIS: Well, it tests his
14	THE COURT: Well you have tested it. Let's go on to
15	something else. We could spend all day with this witness
16	and I don't propose to do so after six weeks of this
17	trial.
18	Q BY MR. HARRIS: You said that Ardie Marron was
19	the highest official in Scientology; is that correct?
20	A Not legally but the highest public official,
21	the one that we represented to the public as representing
22	Scientology.
23	He was the US AG PRO.
24	Q So he was a public relations person; is that
25	correct?
26	A Right.
27	Now when was the last time that you talked to
28	Andia Marmana

1	A The day we took that four or six hour walk.
2	Q And that four or six hour walk what day,
3	what month, what year?
4	A I would have to say it would be around March 6,
5	7th, 8th or 9th, something in there.
6	Q Now, after you took this walk with Ardie Marron,
7	you went to the police; is that right?
8	A To the police or the FBI. I didn't go to the
9	police.
10	Q Well you went to the FBI?
11	A I believe that is the sequence, yes.
12	Q Now as a result of the wealth of information
13	that you gave the FBI, I take it lots of people were indicated
14	is that correct?
15	MR. FLYNN: Objection, Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: Argumentative, sustained.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

)f

1	Q BY MR. HARRIS: Well, was anybody indicted, as
2	far as you know, based upon your information?
3	MR. FLYNN: Objection, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: Overruled.
5	You can answer.
6	THE WITNESS: I don't know what the FBI did.
7	Q BY MR. HARRIS: You don't know what the FBI did
8	with your information?
9	A No, I don't.
10	Q Did you testify before any Grand Juries?
11	A No.
12	Q And you are a client of Mr. Flynn's; right?
13	A Yes.
14	Q And you have been a client of Mr. Flynn's for
15	how long?
16	A Let's see. The week after I went to the PBI
17	no; a few months later.
18	Q That would have been '79?
19	A '79, yes.
20	Lavinia Dukopf called me about threats she was
21	receiving and asking me for help.
22	She told me about Mike Flynn as the lawyer and
23	asked if he could come to meet with me in Vegas. And he
24	didn't come, but two others did.
25	Q Two from his office?
26	A Yes.
27	Q And you had a long talk with them and you
28	retained them; is that right?

Yes.

September 1	
1	A Yes.
2	Q And at the time strike that after you
3	retained Mr. Flynn
4	By the way, have you given him any money?
5	A No.
6	Q After you retained Mr. Flynn you began to get
7	people who were disaffected with Scientology to call up
8	Mr. Flynn; is that right?
9	A In fact, I have never asked or told anyone to
10	call Mike Flynn.
11	Q Have you gathered people together in your house
12	for the purpose of having them get together with Mr. Flynn
13	and retain him as counsel?
14	MR. FLYNN: Objection, Your Honor.
15	THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection.
16	MR. HARRIS: No further questions.
17	THE COURT: Redirect?
18	MR. FLYNN: Just a couple of things, Your Honor.
19	
20	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
21	BY MR. FLYNN:
22	Q Were you sued by the Church of Scientology
23	approximately 10 days after Thomas Hoffman and Kevin Flynn
24	from my office met with you for the first time in late 1979?
25	A Yes.
26	Q And at that time did you retain me to defend
27	you?

1 Have you been sued twice since then by the 2 Church of Scientology? 3 Yes; three people from the Attorney General's Office in Clearwater came to see me and sued me and said I 5 was in a conspiracy with them. 6 After the one meeting with Thomas Hoffman were 7 you sued for conspiracy and violation of First Amendment 8 Rights of the Church of Scientology? 9 A Yes. 10 You have been sued twice since then for violation 11 of their First Amendment Rights? 12 Yes. 13 Just so the Court will have the right impression, 14 what are some of the names of the pool players you have been 15 in tournaments with? 16 A Willie Mosconi; Minnesota Fats; Joe Balsis, all 17 the top players in the world. 18 Q At one time you were the national pingpong junior 19 champion of the world -- of the United States? 20 MR. HARRIS: I'll object, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection. 22 Maybe he ought to get a Lite commercial job. 23 MR. FLYNN: That's all I have, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Anything further, Mr. Harris? 25 MR. HARRIS: No, Your Honor. 26 THE COURT: You may step down. 27 MR. FLYNN: Kima Douglas, please.

```
KIMA CAROL ELIZABETH DOUGLAS,
1
      called as a witness by the Defendant in surrebuttal, was
2
      sworn, and testified as follows:
3
            THE CLERK: Raise your right hand to be sworn, please.
4
            THE WITNESS: I do so swear.
5
           THE CLERK: Be seated. State and spell your name,
6
7
     please.
            THE WITNESS: Kima, K-i-m-a, Carol, C-a-r-o-l, Elizabeth
8
     Douglas, D-o-u-g-l-a-s.
9
10
                             DIRECT EXAMINATION
11
     BY MR. FLYNN:
12
                Mrs. Douglas, you are married to Michael
13
14
      Douglas?
                 Correct.
15
            A
16
            Q And you were involved with the Church of
17
      Scientology between certain years?
18
            A
                 Yes, sir.
19
            0
                 What were those years?
                  1968 to 1980.
20
           A
21
            0
                  In the years 1978 to 1980 what positions did you
22
     hold?
23
                  I was COHU. And I was Medical Officer
           A
24
     Worldwide.
25
                  Were you the personal Medical Officer for L. Ron
            Q
26
     Hubbard?
27
                  Yes, sir.
           A
28
           Q
                  During what period of time?
```

L. Ron Hubbard when he approved the petition of Gerald Armstrong

marked exhibit F for purposes of collecting documents to

27

	. [1] 마양 사람들은 그는
1	write a biography and did you see him personally approve it?
2	A Yes.
3	MR. HARRIS: Objection; compound.
4	THE COURT: Overruled.
5	Q BY MR. FLYNN: You saw him personally approve
6	it?
7	A Yes, I was there when he got it. He talked
8	to me about Gerry because Gerry had been a junior of mine.
9	During the period that Gerry was in the RPF I had taken the
10	RPF under my wing as COHU for a short while and he told me
11	what Gerry's petition was and he actually showed me the thing
12	that he had signed. He actually signed this piece of paper.
13	This was then typed up by a messenger later on.
14	Q When you say he actually signed this piece
15	of paper, you are referring to exhibit F?
16	A Right.
17	Q And you saw him sign that?
18	A Yes, "R, Okay." And it was there his cross on
19	it.
20	Q Now there is some routing on it exhibit F
21	including "cc: COHU."
22	A That is me.
23	Q Now you have heard the name Ernest Hartwell
24	mentioned?
25	A Yes.
26	Q Were you in the presence of L. Ron Hubbard when
27	he ordered the Hartwell's PC files to be culled?
28	A Yes. He ordered all crimes listed and signed

100			
1	by the	Hartwe!	is before they left. I believe the Hartwells
2	were in	carcer	ated for a short while.
3		Q	You gave some photographs to Gerald Armstrong
4	to sell	?	
5		A	I did.
6		Q	And did those photographs belong to you?
7		A	They were taken by me with my camera. They
8	were pe	rsonal	ly my photographs.
9		Q	And you are familiar with the OTC Corporation?
0		A	Yes.
1		Q	And were you aware that board minutes of that
2	corpora	tion w	ere backdated?
3		A	In one instance, yes.
4		Q	Now did you have the opportunity to personally
5	observe	L. Ro	n Hubbard between 1978 and 1980 with regard to
6	irratio	onal or	abusive behavior?
17		A	Yes.
18		Q	And what did you observe?
19		A	That there were times that he was irrational.
20		Q	And was he abusive?
21		A	I saw him hit one person. I consider that
22	abusive		
23		Q	Did you personally see L. Ron Hubbard order
24	people	to the	RPF for minor infractions?
25		A	Yes, I was one of them.
26		Q	And what was the infraction?
27		A	I had LRH had a kidney infection. We
28	had tal	en the	urine test in to be examined. The urine test

22f

came back that he had streptococci bacteria and we started treating him with an antibiotic.

Six weeks later I did another test because he wasn't getting better. We brought the test to him and it showed different bacterial infection at that point and he was very angry and put me in the RPF.

It was not an RPF as it later became when Gerry was there. I was put in to Coventry for five weeks and nobody was allowed to talk to me.

Q Are you familiar with the culling of PC files at winter headquarters and summer headquarters at the Special Unit in 1977 and '78?

A Yes.

Q And what did you see with regard to the culling of PC folders?

A I have culled PC folders myself. I have seen other staff members culling folders.

Q For what purpose?

A To be sent to B-1.

Q And B-1 is what?

A Guardian office intel.

22-1

1	Q Now, when you left the organization did you do
2	what they call "blow out" without routing out?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And was that a high crime in Scientology?
5	A Absolutely.
6	Q And for which one could be declared?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Were you declared?
9	A No. LRH did not declare me.
10	LRH and I had very special communications. We
11	had been friends, and I think, on a very special level for
12	quite a long time. I had seen him through quite a lot of
13	things.
14	Q And were you personally familiar with his health
15	history?
16	A Yes.
17	Q And because of the nature of the technology of
18	Scientology his health history was held out to the public
19	as being superior?
20	A Yes.
21	Q And you know in fact that his health history was
22	not what it was represented to the public as; is that
23	correct?
24	A Correct.
25	Q And on at least one occasion you had saved
26	L. Ron Hubbard's life from a pulmonary embolism?
27	A I got him into a hospital. That saved his life.
28	I didn't personally save his life, but he had refused to go

1 into a hospital and I countermanded his order which was not 2 a normal thing. But I countermanded his order on two 3 occasions. That was one of them. MR. FLYNN: That is all I have. 5 THE COURT: You may cross-examine. 6 MR. LITT: Thank you, Your Honor. 7 8 CROSS-EXAMINATION 9 BY MR. LITT: 10 Mrs. Douglas, you said something about the fact 11 that you had incorporated RRF; is that right? 12 A Yes. 13 And what you meant by that is that you took a 14 trip someplace and were responsible for setting up the 15 corporation? 16 A Correct. 17 And you returned and then immediately resigned 18 your director's post in the corporation and other people 19 assumed the director's post? 20 Quite immediately. 21 I spent five days in a Spanish jail prior to 22 getting back in to the ship. 23 The five days that you spent in the Spanish jail, 24 that was as a result of harassment by the Spanish government 25 against Scientology? 26 MR. FLYNN: Objection, Your Honor. 27 THE COURT: I suppose it is a compound question. 28 You can ask if she knows why she was put in jail.

THE WITNESS: I was put in jail by the --1 What is your MI-5 in this country? The CIA and 2 by the Spanish who were acting for the CIA. 3 Q And you were falsely accused of selling drugs? MR. FLYNN: I object. Beyond the scope, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: I suppose you are getting into all kinds 6 of things. 7 I'll overrule the objection. If you know. 8 THE WITNESS: I was accused of having drugs in my 9 possession. 10 I had a box of chocolates. And the Spanish 11 people put some chemicals in and they came out purple. And 12 they said, "You see, that is LSD," or something. 13 The chocolates had been given to me by an 14 airline, had been given to me by the captain on the airline 15 which I came back on which was KLM because during the flight 16 they called for a doctor; they didn't have a doctor. I was 17 a nurse. They had a man on board that couldn't urinate. 18 I sat on the toilet with him and got him to 19 urinate. 20 They gave me a box of chocolates. That is the 21 chocolates that had, "LSD" in them. 22 BY MR. LITT: Were you questioned by the Spanish 23 24 authorities and some American about Scientology during the course of your arrest? 25 26 Yes. I had PC folders with me that had lists 27 of any drug that any person had ever taken. So they had a

28

list of drugs in them.

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

THE COURT: 115, all right.

MR. PLYNN: Your Honor, if we have the incorporation

MR. LITT: May this be marked next in order, Your Honor?

MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, if we have the incorporation papers, I think those should be marked rather than just a bid.

MR. LITT: I don't have the incorporation papers.

This is what I have, Your Honor.

MR. FLYNN: In any event, I have no objection to its admissibility.

MR. LITT: May I just ask my question, please, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Be my guest.

MR. LITT: Thank you.

Q Miss Douglas, have you seen this document before?

A No.

Q It is a receipt for having received \$400.

A Maybe I did. It is possible. I got a lot of papers when I went down there and incorporated. I didn't have too much of an idea of what I did. I had a — how I was supposed to do it and I sort of went to each of the people in Monrovia, and they then sent me to the next guy, and then I went to the next guy and did what I was supposed to do.

Q Now, the date on here is August 21, 1973; does that help to refresh your recollection as to the time when RRF was set up?

A I'd go with that.

1	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
5 6	
6	
0	
7	1
8	
7 8 9	
10	
	1
44	
11	
12	X
13	
10	
14	
14	
	-
15	
	1
16	
16	
17	
17	
18	
10	
19	
20	
01	
21	
22	
23	
20	
24	

26

27

28

	Ü	You said you didn't know too much of what you
were do	ding, i	I understand it. Essentially you were sent
down ar	d your	job was to get this corporation set up?
	A	I have set up previous corporations, but I have
never s	set up	corporation in Monrovia before and it wasn't
wery or	ganize	d down there.
	Ω	And other than getting it set up, it wasn't
your jo	oh to ti	men run it after that?
	A	No, but I was made a corporate I think it
was the	secre	tary or treasurer of it when I signed on and
signed	off who	on I got back to the ship.
	Q	So you were made the treasurer and secretary
for cor	poration	on purposes?
	A	Right.
	Q	But you did not after you returned to the
ship, y	ou did	not continue to function as an officer or
directo	or of Ri	RF?
	A	No.
	0	And you never dealt with personally with any
of the	activi	ties of RRF; is that correct?
	A	No. However there was no
	Q	When you say "no," we are going to get confused
here.	Let me	try it again.
		You did not handle personally any of the

You did not handle personally any of the corporate matters of RRP after you resigned? Answer the question yes if that statement is correct.

A Oh, I see. Yes.

There is one thing I need to say. Even though

1	I signed off, at that time there was no new member put onto
2	the board to take my position for quite a few months.
3	Q Okay.
4	A So I was sort of kind of a member but not a
5	member.
6	Q And you testified that it was your understanding
7	that the purpose of RRF had to do with moneys to LRH?
8	A Uh-huh.
9	Q And your understanding was that that was in
10	connection with royalties; is that correct?
11	MR. FLYNN: Objection, Your Honor.
12	THE COURT: Well, it is cross-examination.
13	THE WITNESS: It did seem to me to have something to
14	do with royalties. I don't understand, I read this
15	thing through. I know it dealt with finances. I know it
16	dealt with some finances to LRH.
17	It also seemed to deal with a royalty problem.
18	I know there had been a royalty problem in Denmark because
19	from Europe we couldn't get money out at a certain period;
20	okay?
21	Things then changed. I don't even know how
22	and what. I don't know what was happening out there. I
23	just know there was a problem. This dealt with some of it.
24	Q BY MR. LITT: All right, now, you testified
25	that you were ordered to the RPF. Actually I guess it wasn't
26	the RPF.
7	A It was a special RPF.
8	O And when was that?

1	A I believe it was '78. No, wait a moment.
2	When was the raid on when was the raid?
3	Q Well I will ask you another question.
4	MR. FLYNN: Which raid?
5	Q BY MR. LITT: Would it be some time around the
6	summer of 1977?
7	A I believe so.
8	Q And at that time you were holding the
9	position as head of the household unit?
10	A I was CO HU prior to that, yes.
11	Q And you spent five weeks in this assignment?
12	A I spent five weeks in total silence pruning
13	citrus trees.
14	Q And after that, you went back and reassumed
15	your post?
16	A No, I did not. I was cook for 400 people for
17	a short while, and then LRH came back. This was after the
18	raid flap had sort of settled down. LRH had gone. He came
19	back and he put me back on post.
20	Q And that was in approximately the beginning
21	of 1978?
22	A If you say so. I am not really good on dates.
23	I am sorry.
24	Q In any event, you came back to your post as
25	Commanding Officer of the Household Unit; is that right?
26	A That is correct.
27	Q And you continued to retain your special
28	relationship with Mr. Hubbard that you have testified to?

1	A Correct.
2	Q And you continued to work with him as you
3	have testified to on a daily basis?
4	A Right.
5	Q And this was after this assignment that you
6	have described, all of these events that I have been
7	asking you about; is that right?
8	Now, you said that on occasion you saw
9	Mr. Hubbard, I think you said you saw him get what you felt
10	was irrational. You also, in general, observed him to be a -
11	in fact, I will change that, to be an extraordinary man in
12	your judgment?
13	A He was a genius as far as I was concerned.
14	Q And these occasions where you observed what
15	you felt was sometimes a fit of temper, for instance?
16	A You could call it that.
17	Q In your opinion and in your experience, those
18	were not characteristic. They were times when you observed
19	that Mr. Hubbard would get angry or get upset or get even,
20	as you viewed it, irrational?
21	MR. FLYNN: I will object. It is a compound question.
22	THE COURT: Well it is very compound. I don't know
23	where the question mark came in there. It kept going on and
24	on.
25	MR. LITT: I am giving her a range of choices,
26	but
27	THE COURT: You answer yes to a range, you don't get
28	very much.

1	Q BY MR. LITT: And this characterization that
2	Mr. Flynn has asked you about as to whether or not you have
3	ever observed Mr. Hubbard to be irrational, in your
4	experience that was not characteristic of Mr. Hubbard; these
5	things; these were things that you observed occasionally;
6	is that correct?
7	A Occasionally, yes.
8	Q And you said that you spent five years on a
9	virtual daily basis with Mr. Hubbard?
10	A Virtually; especially the last three years.
11	Q Now, Mr. Plynn also asked you about Mr. Hubbard's
12	health.
13	You last saw Mr. Hubbard in January of 1980?
14	A Yes.
15	Q And at that time his health was the best you had
16	ever seen it; wasn't it?
17	MR. FLYNN: I object. The best she had ever seen?
18	MR. LITT: Yes, in his case.
19	THE COURT: Of Mr. Hubbard.
20	I'll overrule it.
21	THE WITNESS: As far as his weight was concerned, yes.
22	He had by this point gone through two embolisms which do have
23	quite a lot to do with weight.
24	He was still smoking a lot, about three or
25	four packs a day.
26	His weight being down, as far as I was concerned,
27	but him into the hest chance he has had for a long time

Q He was also exercising? You had, in fact,

1	assisted him in setting up an exercise program?
2	A It was real basic, but it was exercise, yes.
3	Q Now, with respect to the photographs that
4	Mr. Flynn asked you about, these were photographs taken whil
5	you were still
6	A Christmas and New Year's of 1980 excuse me
7	'79.
8	Q And it was your understanding at the time you
9	took the photographs that they were only for your personal
10	use; correct?
11	A Uh-huh.
12	Q Now, after this photograph incident you attended
13	a meeting at the Bonaventure Hotel; is that right?
14	A Uh-huh.
15	THE COURT: You have to answer audibly.
16	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Yes.
17	Q BY MR. LITT: And how is it that you came to
18	attend this meeting? Did somebody contact you and suggest
19	that you attend?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Who was that?
22	A Jim Dincalci.
23	Q And did you attend the meeting two days or one
24	day?
25	A Two days basically. But it wasn't a continuing
26	meeting. It was just one meeting and then the next day we
27	sort of chatted and

Q All right. Now, going to the second day, at the

anything. It is too general.

1	MR. LITT: I'll rephrase the question.
2	Q Mr. Flynn made the statement that you and others
3	who were present could look at the documents that were there;
4	is that right?
5	MR. FLYNN: Objection, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection.
7	THE WITNESS: As I remember, Mr. Flynn was there;
8	myself and my husband, possibly Gerry was there. I am not
9	even sure.
10	But he said there are some papers there that you
11	can read.
12	I knew the papers. I had seen them before.
13	Q All right. And among the papers were a set of
14	papers regarding some diaries and journals of Mr. Hubbard
15	from what appeared to be the post-war period, late 1940's?
16	A I don't know that they were diaries. They were
17	writings of LRH. I knew his writing; so
18	Q Personal writings?
19	A Yes, you could say that.
20	Q And was there anything from anything else that
21	you remember from Mr. Hubbard's
22	A I knew all the papers. So I sort of didn't
23	really bother reading them. I had read them all before.
24	Q But your husband hadn't, had he, to your
25	knowledge?
26	A He hadn't read them from me. So I you know
27	Q And do you remember something having to do with

a ritual, anything like that, that was among the papers?

1	A I believe there was, yes. I think so.
2	Q And was there also a letter from Mrs. Hubbard
3	to Mr. Hubbard among the papers?
4	A I didn't get into them that much. I don't know.
5	There possibly was. I am not sure.
6	All I know is that I looked through them; I
7	flipped through them. I knew I had read them all. And I
8	left them.
9	Q Let me see if I can refresh your recollection.
10	I am referring specifically to a private letter
11	of Mrs. Hubbard to Mr. Hubbard from the early 1950's; do you
12	know the letter I am talking about?
13	A I know the letter you are talking about.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

-5

1	Q You had, in fact, seen it when it was in
2	storage; is that right?
3	A That is correct.
4	Q Mrs. Douglas, was that letter at the meeting
5	to the best of your recollection?
6	A I cannot guarantee that it was and I cannot
7	say
8	Q But you believe it was?
9	A There is a good possibility that it was, but
10	I can't as I said, I did not reach any there is a
11	good possibility, but I am not swearing a hundred per cent
12	that it was there because I don't remember.
13	Q I am not asking you to swear a hundred
14	percent.
15	THE COURT: Well she's told you what she remembers,
16	Counsel. Let's try a different question.
17	Q BY MR. LITT: Mrs. Douglas, did I have a
18	conversation with you last week concerning this subject?
19	A Uh-huh.
20	Q And did you tell me that you recalled this
21	letter having been at the meeting?
22	NR. FLYNN: I am going to object, Your Honor.
23	Mr. Litt has been involved in real estate
24	transactions with Mrs. Douglas' husband and has been
25	developing and cultivating for the last two years a personal
26	relationship
27	MR. LITT: What is this? This is outrageous, Your
28	Honor.

THE COURT: Well, unless there is some attorney-client 1 privilege, I don't know. The fact that they may have talked, 2 whatever the circumstances were, may go to the weight. 3 BY MR. LITT: Mrs. Douglas, do you know what the question is? 5 I understand what the question is. Yes, I 6 recall talking to you and yes, I -- the thing is you are 7 8 asking me to give you a hundred percent answer. No, I am asking you for your best recollection. 0 9 My best recollection is that I believe it was 10 A there; okay? But the only thing is 11 That is fine. 12 0 13 A - - I am not sure. All right, and at this meeting did Mr. Flynn 14 0 also suggest that there be organized conference of former 15 16 Scientologists to try to take over Scientology? MR. FLYNN: Objection, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Overruled. 18 19 THE WITNESS: Did Mr. Flynn - - am I to answer? BY MR. LITT: Yes. 20 0 21 I am to answer. Okay. 22 Mr. Flynn did make mention about talking to 23 some Scientologists and showing the truth of what the papers 24 that he had showed up. Also, some of the things that were 25 not so public about RPF's, folder culling, some of the 26 criminal activities that the Guardian's office had taken to 27 certain people such as Paulette Cooper.

Well, let me - - I want you to focus on the

28

THE COURT: I will sustain the objection.

MR. FLYNN: The last thing I need is Scientology 1 clients. 2 MR. LITT: Your Honor, if Mr. Flynn wishes to testify, he can take the stand. THE COURT: You told us you were going to call him a long time ago, and that is why you wanted him out of the case. 7 MR. LITT: Yes, but he became counsel so we decided 8 not to. 9 THE COURT: All right. Disregard the comment. 10 11 MR. LITT: May I have a moment? 12 I have no further questions. THE COURT: Mr. Harris, any questions? 13 MR. HARRIS: No. Your Honor. 14 15 THE COURT: Mr. Flynn. 16 17 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 18 BY MR. FLYNN: 19 Mrs. Douglas, was one of your duties inside 20 the organization to courier cash around the world? 21 Yes. 22 MR. HARRIS: This is beyond the scope of cross. 23 MR. FLYNN: Just has to do with the Spanish jail. 24 THE COURT: Overrule the objection. 25 BY MR. FLYNN: Have you crossed the United 26 States in excess of a hundred times with millions of 27 dollars in cash? 28 MR. HARRIS: Well I will object to that, Your Honor,

as leading and also beyond what he stated it is for, why she 1 is in the Spanish jail. 2 THE COURT: Well it is of interest anyway. Overruled. THE WITNESS: I answer? 5 THE COURT: Yes. THE WITNESS: Not in excess of a hundred, but --6 THE COURT: Not in excess of a hundred? 7 THE WITNESS: Hundred times. I have not crossed the United States in excess of a hundred. It has been under 9 that, but I have couried hundreds of thousands of dollars 10 out of the United States during the period when it was 11 actually a criminal action as it was actually only a certain 12 13 amount of money to be allowed to be taken out of the United 14 States, and I knowingly committed that action at the time. 15 BY MR. FLYNN: And do you know where the money 16 was taken at that time? 17 A To the ship. I took them to the Flag ship 18 myself. 19 Did you ever take any moneys to Luxembourg or 0 20 Lichtenstein bank accounts? 21 Yes, I did. 22 0 And what amounts? 23 I took some from the ship. I can't give you 24 an exact amount, but it was in excess of a million. 25 There was an action that was down at 26 Lichtenstein -- not --27 Was it in cash? Q

Yeah. Wait a minute. I don't know that it was

28

A

1	Lichtenstein. What is the other little country?
2	THE COURT: Luxembourg.
3	THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. Luxembourg.
4	Q BY MR. FLYNN: Mr. Litt asked you about several
5	of Mr. Hubbard's illnesses.
6	Did he suffer from chronic pneumonia?
7	MR. LITT: I didn't ask about any of his illness. I
8	asked about his health.
9	THE COURT: Well, cross-examination. What is the
10	best health?
11	Q BY MR. FLYNN: Did he suffer from chronic
12	pneumonia?
13	A No.
14	Q Did he suffer from pneumonia?
15	A Once in a while.
16	THE COURT: Did he have any bullet wounds in his
17	back?
18	THE WITNESS: No, sir.
19	Q BY MR. FLYNN: Do you know where there is an
20	exhibit under seal, a letter of his in 1978 where he said he
21	suffers from chronic pneumonia?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Did he suffer from arthritis?
24	A Yes.
25	Q Bursitis?
26	A Yes.
27	

26f

read my folders. I have seen the lists of culled crimes.

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

I know what they can use against me.

THE COURT: Have you gone down and asked that they be destroyed in your presence?

THE WITNESS: No folders are ever destroyed, sir.

THE COURT: Mr. Spurlock on the witness stand a few days ago said that any ex-Scientologist can go down and ask to have his PC folders destroyed and they would do so in his presence. I don't know whether that has ever happened, but that is what he said.

THE WITNESS: It has never happened to my knowledge before.

BY MR. FLYNN: Have you seen L. Ron Hubbard regularly throw plates of food across the room?

MR. LITT: Objection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I think we are getting a little farfetched there.

MR. FLYNN: I have nothing further.

THE COURT: Anything further, gentlemen?

MR. HARRIS: I'll handle the cross, Your Honor, just for a change of pace.

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. HARRIS:

Mrs. Douglas, when your couriered your money to Luxemburg from the ship, when was that?

It was when we went to do a mission. I can't give you the time. You can trace it down very easily. It was when the Swiss raised their currency. They did something

with their currency which at that point scared LRH. And what he wanted was all the Swiss francs taken from the Swiss banks to Luxembourg and put into the vault.

They were numbered exactly from whatever number they were. And those were his monies. And the Church's monies were two separate very large bundles.

And that money was not to be used by the banks for any other reason or anything like that.

We had to get all the numbers of those Swiss franks which is what we did.

- Q All right. So you went to Switzerland to a Swiss bank, or --
 - A We took money from the ship.
 - Q To Luxembourg?
 - A To Luxembourg.

The transfer from Switzerland to Luxembourg had already been done interbank wise because we were talking millions and millions of dollars.

- Q The mission that you went on to the Luxembourg bank from the ship was in 1974, was it not?
- A Again, it is a date. And I'm sorry. I'm not real good. If you say so, yes. I don't know.
- Q The money that you took to the Luxembourg bank had been stored aboard the ship; is that correct, in packages?
- A No. There were some, but the main bulk of it was transferred from Switzerland to Luxembourg.
 - Q Did you do that?

THE COURT: She put it in a safe.

THE WITNESS: I stored it in this vault. We actually went into the vault. We really upset the bank a lot. But they allowed us into this vault. Q Did you also transfer money into an account in Luxembourg? A I don't remember, to be quite honest with you. I mean the biggest part of that mission, the big thing and the whole reason for the mission was that we had the numbers of the exact francs, Swiss francs.

1	Q	Yes.
2	A	If any other money was transferred, that is
3	possible, but	I don't I can't remember. All I remember
4	is taking out	a lot of numbers.
5	Q	All right, and at the time that you did this,
6	the person who	o was with you was your then husband, Tony
7	Dunleavy?	
8	A	No.
9	Q	Who was the other person who was with you?
10	A	Fred Hare and my husband-to-be, Mike Douglas.
11	Q	And at the time that you put this in the bank
12	in the safe,	you don't know what happened to it after that?
13	A	No, I am sorry. I don't know what happened
14	to it. It was	s in the bank, in the safe, wrapped up.
15	Q	Now, how much specifically was it that you
16	transferred to	0
17	A	Number one, it was Swiss Francs.
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	Number two, I don't know.
20	Q	All right, good enough.
21		When you were transferring cash
22		I can tell you how big it was. Does that
23	help?	
24	Q	No. How about when you were transferring cash
25	across the Un	ited States; this was from where?
26	A	Mainly from FBO; that is Flag Banking Officer
27	Us which would	d have been Al
20		Paul sing

1	Q Thank you, and then for a while it was
2	Mike Smith, and because I was relatively trusted in the
3	church, I was given money went to Flag weekly.
4	Q Yes.
5	A If there was a big mission going back, they
6	took large amounts of cash and it depended who the mission
7	Was.
8	Q All right now how many such occasions did you
9	get money from Al Boutain to take to the ship?
10	A Seems to me 30, 40 times.
11	Q And what year was that?
12	A 1970 onto about '74.
13	Q And you would go directly to the ship with it?
14	A Uh-huh.
15	Q "Uh-huh" means yes?
16	A Yes.
17	Q And you would turn it over to whom?
18	A Vicky Livingston after a while, but it wasn't
19	Vicky Livingston in the first part.
20	It was Robin Roos for a little while. She was
21	CS 3. I don't know. There seems to have been somebody in
22	between there and then it was Vicky.
23	Q All right, and did you see what happened to
24	the money after that?
25	A No.
26	Q Did you have a conversation with either
27	Miss Roos or Miss Livingston as to what was to be done with
28	the money?

1	[사람들이 사람들이 1985] 그 아이들이 아이들이 아니는 아이들이 아이들이 아이들이 아이들이 아이들이 아이들이 아이들이 아이들
1	A None of my business. It really wasn't.
2	Q On your mission to Luxembourg to put the
3	Swiss francs in the safe, was LRH's money segregated from
4	church money?
5	A Yes. It had its own numbers.
6	Q Pardon me?
7	A It had its own numbers and there were these
8	bundles. That had its own numbers in bundles that belonged
9	to C of S of California.
10	MR. LITT: Okay. No further questions.
11	THE COURT: Mr. Flynn?
12	
13	FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION
14	BY MR. FLYNN:
15	Q Vicky Livingston was LRH Accounts; is that
16	correct?
17	A She was CS 3 for a while. She was also LRH
18	Accounts. She was also Flag banking officer, Flag.
19	Q And she opened up personal bank accounts
20	for L. Ron Hubbard to your knowledge; is that correct?
21	A I don't know.
22	Q The bank when you did this mission was acting
23	as a storage facility for this cash; correct?
24	A Right.
25	Q And you were going to describe how big these
26	piles were; can you do that?
27	A Yes. Can I get up?
28	THE COURT: Sure.

1 THE COURT: The one set that belonged to C of S was like this, but it was about flat. It was in large notes 2 3 because they were Swiss francs; okay? LRH was the smaller one that sort of came 4 like this down and probably about like that. 5 THE COURT: Well I suppose we should ask you to 6 7 describe for the record. How wide was this now? You have 8 got a ruler there. Maybe you can tell us. THE WITNESS: That is not a normal ruler. That is 9 10 not real good. BY MR. FLYNN: Can you give dimensions? 11 0 12 Say two foot by about three foot for C of S, 13 and then LRH would have been three foot by maybe one foot, and then it went down in little steps, so - - I don't 14 15 know. 16 And all this cash was kept together in the 0 17 vault at the storage facility rather than being in a bank 18 account? 19 Right, because of something that happened with 20 Swiss francs and it also went along with if the bank went 21 broke, they couldn't use that money to bail themselves out 22 because they were being a storage house rather than --23 THE COURT: Creditor. 24 THE WITNESS: Right. 25

if

26

27

24

25

26

27

28

BY MR. FLYNN: And this had nothing to do with RRF bank accounts; correct, to your knowledge?

I don't know.

I may have broken my wrist.

MR. FLYNN: That is all I have, Your Honor.

MR. HARRIS: Nothing further, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You may step down.

MR. FLYNN: Call Homer Schomer, Your Honor.

MR. HELLER: Good morning, Your Honor. You may recall me from the other day. My name is Lawrence Heller.

THE COURT: Yes. I remember you.

MR. HELLER: You'll recall that I represented an employee of Author Services Incorporated which was Mr. Lyman

I am here representing Author Services Incorporated

My understanding is that Mr. Schomer served as a former employee and, in fact, was in a position where he had access to confidential financial information.

Mr. Schomer, not only upon inception into the organization, but upon his termination, at the time he left, signed a contract in which he obligated himself not to disclose this confidential financial information.

The law which I have taken the liberty of briefing for Your Honor, and which I have some Xerox copies of here is very clear that in the absence of a contract and, again, with the California Labor Code, any information which Mr. Schomer or any other employee acquires within the course

and scope of his employment which is deemed to be confidential and due to the contract that was signed and the nature of this information, there is no question that it is confidential and should not be disclosed to any member of the public. And there is specific California case law which interprets the Labor Code which is section 2860 which says that signing such a contract of confidentiality is tantamount to admitting to an injunction before the court preventing disclosure of this confidential information.

2860 says literally, everything which an employee acquires by virtue of his employment except the compensation which is due him from the employer belongs to the employer whether acquired lawfully or unlawfully or during or after the expiration of the term of his employment.

There are a variety of appellate and California Supreme Court cases that interpret this to say that this information may in fact not be disclosed under any circumstances.

I understand that Mr. Schomer has also taken financial written documentation from his employer unknowing to his employer and has shown that documentation perhaps to Mr. Flynn.

MR. FLYNN: Definitely not to Mr. Flynn.

MR. HELLER: Your Honor, I may be wrong on that, but he has financial documentation; this is what I understand from conversations which I have had with people who he saw and who stayed as house guests at his house after termination

of his employment.

I also have sworn affidavits that Mr. Schomer, speaking with these people, said for \$200,000 he would not testify in this case.

I have these people here as witnesses. And the Court can examine those witnesses.

This is information which under any circumstances should not be disclosed. And I believe this is particularly true because my understanding is that Mr. Schomer began his employment with Author Services Incorporated sometime in mid-1982.

Since Your Honor knows at one time I was an attorney of record very early on in this case, my remembrance is that Mr. Armstrong's involvement in this terminated sometime in mid-1982.

I would suggest that Mr. Schomer, this witness, is being utilized for purposes of disclosing financial information to the public which is clearly confidential information which has no relevance to this case.

Mr. Harris and Mr. Litt may have more to say about this.

My function here today is to prevent disclosure of this financial information based on California State Law.

I have taken the liberty of Xeroxing, as I said, a variety of State, Supreme Court, and Appellate Court cases which very clearly say that this information may not be disclosed. And I make this motion at this time that Mr. Schomer not be allowed to testify as to any financial

ir

information.

My understanding is that that is all he is here to testify to, relating to my client Author Services
Incorporated.

MR. FLYNN: I would like to be heard, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Certainly.

You might as well have a seat, Mr. Schomer.

MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, first of all, with regard to relevance, Mr. Schomer has extremely important testimony with regard to relevance, not only on issues that the plaintiff and intervenor raised through Mr. Lyman Spurlock and through Terri Gamboa with regard to security checks, culling of PC files, the activities of the organization after they claimed that 1,100 criminals from the Guardian's Office were removed.

Mr. Schomer's testimony will specifically show a pattern of conduct from the moment he joined the organization as he gradually became aware of the conduct of the organization right up until the time he left in September of 1982.

And that that pattern of conduct, which is an important issue in this case, never changed. The pattern of conduct relates to security checks. Mr. Schomer went through a ten-hour check in which hiss Gamboa, contrary to her testimony, was present for virtually the entire ten hours he was spit on.

THE COURT: I don't think that is really -- this lawyer is not objecting to that.

MR. HELLER: No, I have no objection to anything except the disclosing of financial information.

If Mr. Flynn is making representation that he is not going to question him on financial information, I don't think I have the right to object to any relevant testimony.

MR. FLYNN: I will direct my remarks, Your Honor, to financial information.

As I see it, the current state of the record in this case with regard to L. Ron Hubbard's control of financial affairs and with regard to one of the more fundamental issues in the case; namely, who Gerald Armstrong worked for relates to the overall control patterns exercised by L. Ron Hubbard right from the inception of the Hubbard Exploration Company, through OTS, OTC, RRF, and with the creation of Author Services, Inc.

The testimony will be that all of the identical conduct that took place throughout those years is now taking place in Author Services, Inc. and that nothing has ever changed with regard to the control patterns.

29/12

1 2

Perhaps the most salient item of evidence is
that for, as Your Honor has heard, for a period of 13
years Scientologists throughout the world, like Gerald Armstrong
and thousands of others who paid millions of dollars to
this organization, believed the representations that

L. Ron Hubbard was not receiving any money from the
Church of Scientology organizations.

The testimony that Mr. Schomer has is direct testimony relating to that fraud, relating to the transfer, in a six month period he was involved, of \$30 million of church funds with retroactive billings to church organizations into L. Ron Hubbard's account.

That testimony is directly contrary to the years of representations that Mr. Rubbard made to the organization, including two people like Gerald Armstrong.

The court is confronted with a very significant situation here. If the court rules in favor of this confidentiality agreement so as to preclude this testimony in the face of what I submit to the court is a rather massive fraud perpetrated by L. Ron Hubbard, not only as revealed in the documents but with regard to the financial operations and machinations of this organization and his control over them, then in a court of law every criminal on the street, every organization could simply have someone write up a confidentiality agreement, and the confidentiality agreement would prevent someone from coming to court and testifying.

The cases that Mr. Heller refers to are for the

most part trade secret cases. They have to do with customer lists and trade secret information which a competitor of the customer is trying to obtain possession of. What Mr. Schomer is going to do is testify in a court of law with regard to information that he possesses.

30f

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

He is not out seeking to sell the information to a competitor, even if the information could be construed to be a customer list.

I also have numerous cases which I have Xeroxed, some of which I gave to the Court at the beginning of the case, particularly the Allen v. Jordanos, Brown v. Preese, Williamson v. Superior Court which was specifically an effort to conceal evidence during the middle of a proceeding. And the court ruled that should we allow that happen, no testimony could ever be adduced, if you simply allowed an agreement to be entered into saying the information was confidential. And there are several other cases which say you could never enter into a contract which seeks to conceal or suppress information which is either a violation of a law or is even discreditable information. In fact, the Restatement of Contracts, which is cited in the Allen v. Jordanos case, the Brown v. Freese case, specifically says that a contract provision to conceal discreditable information is void as a matter of law; those are both California cases. And I had previously given a copy to the Court and I would be happy to give another copy to the Court.

This Court has heard six weeks of testimony with regard to -- including just recently from Kima Douglas -- huge cash transactions involving an allegedly nonprofit organization in which society puts its public trust that these funds are being used for charitable purposes.

In addition to the fact that Scientologists relied upon written representations for some 15 years that

L. Ron Hubbard wasn't receiving these funds.

Mr. Schomer has very direct testimony about the transfers of \$30 million to Mr. Hubbard directly from Church funds.

I submit to the Court that if it allows a confidential to be used in court to prevent testimony of this nature from coming in it would be assisting every organization to go out and engage in this type of conduct and simply sign a confidential agreement so no evidence could come in to prove the type of conduct that was engaged in.

For all of those reasons, Your Honor, I submit that this testimony of extreme significance in this proceeding.

And the contract that Mr. Heller is referring to, which I have read, is as worthless as the pieces of paper that all of these Scientologists were required to sign before they were allowed to leave, these nondisclosure and release bonds; in fact, when Mr. Schomer testifies about the circumstances under which he signed these documents, he did it under duress, under threat, being spit in his face, being locked in and incarcerated in a room with Gordon Cook --

MR. HELLER: Are we talking about whether he can testify or not, Your Honor?

THE COURT: He is talking; you let him finish.

MR. FLYNN: So one of the cases, for example, I have with regard to a confidential agreement relates to whether or not it was an arm's length transaction.

As Mr. Schomer will testify, there was absolutely no arm's length negotiations between Mr. Schomer and the Author Services Inc. when he was ordered to sign these documents.

For that reason alone, the contract provision regarding nondisclosure is inapplicable.

MR. HELLER: To begin with, Mr. Flynn must be somewhat clairvoyant. He knows what they stand for and that they deal with trade secrets. It is five cases, including an ALR citation which have nothing to do with trade secrets.

They deal strictly with confidential information. Labor Code 2860 and its annotations make no mention whatsoever of trade secrets and we know that trade secrets and customer lists are entirely different areas.

As I have said I have these. I am happy to cite them in the record, if Your Honor please, if he wants me to.

THE COURT: Are these California cases?

MR. HELLER: These are California cases. I began with Labor Code Section 2860.

THE COURT: All right, give me your cases.

MR. HELLER: First case I have is King v. Pacific Vitamin Corporation, 256 Cal.Ap. 2d. 841, and I ask that Your Honor look specifically to headnote 4 of that case as well as the body of the case which deals with headnote 4 which talks about confidential information.

I also have the case of Greenly v. Cooper, 77 Cal.Ap.3d. 382. This again deals with confidential information, makes no mention of trade secrets.

I further have the case of Ernst & Ernst v. Carlson, 247 Cal.Ap.2d, 125.

I also have an ALR citation.

THE COURT: Well don't bother giving me that because I don't have any ALR.

MR. HELLER: Those are the three cases that I have which I found just yesterday evening, Your Honor.

THE COURT: What were your cases again, Mr. Flynn? I have already got them back there somewhere.

MR. FLYNN: Allen vs. Jordanos, which is 52 Cal.Ap.3d - -

31/2

32-1

N. D.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. FLYNN: I have got several others. I'll give the clerk the copies of the cases. They are amongst my papers here.

MR. HELLER: As well, Your Honor, Mr. Flynn says the contract which was signed was signed as a condition of, I suppose, Mr. Schomer's leaving or terminating his employment with Author Services Incorporated.

The contract was signed on August 23rd, 1982.

And I understand Mr. Schomer worked for quite some time for Author Services after this point in time. I think he was assigned within a couple of months after the inception of his employment.

I have the original of that which I am happy to show you. I would like to present this to the Court.

THE COURT: We'll recess until 1:30.

33/1

1 2

MR. HARRIS: Only on behalf of the church, Your
Honor, just to indicate that whatever happened in 1982 insofar
as financial transactions of L. Ron Hubbard, should not
have —— was not a part of the defense in this case, so the
court should consider 352 and relevant considerations. He
had already left, December '81.

MR. FLYNN: Mr. Schomer will testify that the personal office of L. Ron Hubbard became Author Services, Inc. and will testify --

MR. HARRIS: Anything before --

THE COURT: Let's not get exercised. Let's take a recess until 1:30.

(At 12:00 noon a recess was taken until 1:30 p.m. of the same day.) LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; TUESDAY, JUNE 5, 1984; 1:32 P.M.

THE COURT: All right. We are back in session.

Counsel are present.

34f

Well I have reviewed the authorities and I was somewhat nonplussed to think that there might be some statute that would preclude the evidence that was either fraudulent or a crime to be suppressed. So I think I better look at these matters a little more carefully, and the cases all cited by counsel for the Author Services deal with trade secrets, matters which would be legal to possess by an employer, and that obviously gets into the area of unfair competition, generally matters of that nature.

Obviously it seems to me that if an employee had knowledge of fraudulent activity or criminal activity, conduct which was a fraud upon creditors or a fraud upon shareholders or clients of a particular business, the law couldn't countenance or tolerate that type of a statute precluding the testimony of certainly any concept of justice would permit that type of a balancing.

34-1

1 2

The problem I still have, though, is really one of relevance; assuming that all of us say that -- I believe I am having a little trouble seeing the relevancy of it, Mr. Flynn.

Mr. Armstrong left in '81. We are talking about who was the employee, who was his employer; what they did afterwards, of course, is interesting, but it still doesn't seem to me to be particularly relevant.

There might be some other forum that that might be appropriate to get into.

Maybe you can explain to me more carefully what the theory of relevance is.

MR. FLYNN: There are several issues, Your Honor, the credibility of several of the witnesses.

THE COURT: Well, I don't have any problem about what you want to present about the circumstances under which he left the organization or whatever he was subjected to in the way of security check, confessional, certainly all of that would be relevant to credibility and various people have testified and so forth.

But the financial situation after Mr. Armstrong left, the fact that money may have gone to Mr. Hubbard and may have gone legally, I don't know that there was necessarily a fraud on anybody. I would think if it was fraudulent, maybe the Internal Revenue agents or the state government would be interested. But I don't know that that really relates to any of the issues before us.

MR. PLYNN: The fraud relates to the representations

to the public and to Scientologists that Mr. Hubbard was not receiving any funds from Church organizations.

THE COURT: But we are trying here the Church against your client, not whether he misused or converted these documents, breached trust, so forth.

I'll sustain an objection on relevancy grounds as to the evidence.

I'll certainly overrule Author Services' objections. I think that is totally off base.

MR. FLYNN: Call Mr. Schomer, please.

I would raise one other argument, Your Honor; that is, the argument of Mr. Flinn, the religious expert and the record that he created with regard to his conclusions as to the religious nature of the organization and the position of Mr. Hubbard as a religious leader and with regard to the conclusion that based on his research and analysis, even though he admitted that if the Pope stole Church funds, it would not be sanctioned under either ecclesiastical or civil law.

He did create somewhat of a record with regard to his view that the hierarchical structure of the Church of Scientology was akin to the hierarchical structure of the Roman Catholic Church.

And on that basis he arrived at all kinds of conclusions, most of which I thought were somewhat --

THE COURT: Well, there is nothing that says that you can't have a religious organization that operates to endow the founder with millions of dollars. If it weren't for tax laws which sometimes create artificial concepts, certainly there can be no consideration in that regard.

At the same time, it may be that in some other forum this evidence is relevant, but I don't see it is terribly relevant here. What Dr. Flinn said about that I don't think changes whether or not it would be a religion.

Obviously, Francis of Assisi, I thought that was rather unusual comparing him to Francis of Assisi's wow of poverty. We know that Mr. Hubbard doesn't believe in poverty for himself. That's been demonstrated by testimony here.

At any rate, let's proceed.

HOWARD D. SCHOMER.

called as a witness on behalf of the defense in surrebuttal, was sworn and testified as follows:

THE CLERK: Be seated on the witness stand. Please state your name and spell your last name.

THE WITNESS: Howard D. Schomer, S-c-h-o-m-e-r.

Within the church I was more commonly known as Homer Schomer, which is really an aka.

1	DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. FLYNN:
3	Q When did you first become involved with the
4	Church of Scientology, Mr. Schomer?
5	A First time I knew about it, I went to a lectur
6	was around May of 1968.
7	Q And when did you leave?
8	A I left around the 23rd of December, 1982.
9	Q And when you left, who were you employed by?
10	A Author Services Incorporated.
11	Q And when did you begin employment with
12	Author Services Incorporated?
13	A In late March of 1982.
14	Q Now prior to that time that you held a post
15	in the Sea Organization?
16	A I had numerous posts that I held. I joined
17	the Sea Org around the beginning of September 1970, and from
18	that time forward until I went to Author Services in late
19	March of '82, there were many posts that I held.
20	Q And what were some of the posts?
21	A I was the ethics officer at AS HO for a good
22	number of months. I was the Org officer of AS HO foundation
23	for a number of months. I was the Cope Officer in HCO and
24	Flag when it was over in the Mediterranean.
25	I was the external communications for a year
26	or so.
27	I was on Flag at Clearwater. I was the
28	director RAM, R-A-M, Records, Assets and Materiel for five

36f

Q What were the physical conditions of the area that you were required to stay in?

A Filthy and, actually, we were for a time berthed in an area which was called lower hold No. 1 of the ship which was used for storage. And it had like an auxiliary part of an anchor chain that it used the anchor and boxes. There were cockroaches and excess rust and so forth.

Q And were there mattresses on the floor and were they filthy?

A There were mattresses -- I think they were going to be thrown out. And then with the concept of the RPF, they kept them. And these were used for the RPF to sleep on.

Q During that period of time did you observe

Mr. Hubbard managing Scientology organizations throughout
the world?

A There was never a time that I was on the ship that I did not see him managing Scientology organizations.

There wasn't anything on the ship that went on from personnel assignments, the choosing — the accepting of the meal menus — when I worked in the Programs Bureau, all evaluations for the Scientology Orgs throughout the world which were being managed by the Management Org aboard the ship, all the evaluations had to go up through him for signature.

Any missions, which there were a multitude of missions, that would go out weekly to various Orgs throughout the world were all approved by him.

All finances were approved by him. There wasn't

anything that really was not approved by him and wasn't seen 1 by him including his research. You know, maybe there was a new process, auditing 3 process, that he was developing and so forth. 4 I mean this was one thing I admired because he 5 was capable of doing so many different things. And he never 6 relinquished control over anything. 7 It is like everything that went on, he did or 8 had his finger in. 9 Q Now, did you observe irrational and abusive 10 behavior on board the ship by Mr. Hubbard? 11 A Several times, I did. 12 And did he swear and curse at, for instance, 13 young girls? 14 Very abusive language. I can remember one time 15 on the bridge --16 Q What type of language? 17 THE COURT: What is the relevancy of his language, 18 19 counsel? THE WITNESS: It would be of the worse kind. 20 THE COURT: I'll strike it. I think it is irrelevant. 21 BY MR. FLYNN: Going up to 1982 did you see some 22 23 photographs in the possession of Terri Gamboa? 24 Yes. I remember seeing an album that had wedding 25 photographs of Gerry Armstrong and at that time Terri 26 Armstrong. They were in a binder. 27 And that -- I think -- that afternoon when I left

I saw Terri -- not Terri -- Gerry Armstrong's wife Jocelyn,

Omar Garrison and his wife, going into the CMO building where I was working.

And then several weeks later in Terri Gamboa and David Miscavige's office I saw some other photographs that were LRH when he was New York off the ship.

And are you certain that you saw these other photographs in Terri Gamboa's office after you saw Gerald Armstrong visit the CMO building?

A No doubt about it. There were two sets of photographs. One was the album which was Gerry's wedding, Gerry and Terri's wedding aboard the ship.

The others were loose photos; in fact, Terri had even mentioned to people that were there and myself that were looking at them, that these were the ones she had gotten from Gerry Armstrong.

Let me show you what has been marked as exhibit DDD; do you recognize that, Mr. Schomer?

Yes. This is one of the photographs that I saw on Terri's desk.

-	2 2		
0	And	-	-

A I was sort of horrified because LRH didn't exactly look very presentable. It wasn't really an image—type photograph that I am sure he would ever approve being seen by public or basically anyone. I don't know if he ever would even have knowledge that those were taken.

Q All right. Did you any conversation with Terri Gamboa as to who owned these photographs?

A Well in the course of the conversation with myself and other people in the room, it was mentioned that these photographs had been gotten from Gerry Armstrong.

- Q And was Kima Douglas' name mentioned?
- A No.
- Q Was James Dincalci's name mentioned?

A Well in the light because James Dincalci was with LRH when he was off the ship when these photographs were taken. That I knew because I was on the ship around that period of time when he left or came back to the ship, around just after he left, and I knew that Jim Dincalci and another fellow had gone with him or were with him.

All right. Now, when you went to work for Author Services, Inc., was that at the inception of that business or of that corporation?

A Well when I started, oh, yes. Just coming into being. Whether or not it was incorporated at that time, I am not really sure. We didn't call it Author Services when I first got there.

I think one reason was a possible - - I don't

1 2 5 6 and no longer part of the church. 9 10 11 12 0 13 14 15 16 17 started work for ASI? 18 19 all my possessions with me. 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

28

know if it was a possible inurement thing or not, but I was legally start part of the church and I was actually working for Author Services because I had never really been released from the church which later on I received a document from WDC telling me that I was, you know, on leave

But I think we were calling ourselves R Accounts which was sort of the old name for the section of the LRH Pers PRO office, that part of the Org or division that was set up to take care of LRH's accounts and there was Pers PRO at the time that would handle his public relations.

All right. What was your post just prior to going to work for Author Services, Inc.?

I was the Director of Records, Assets and Materiel at the Flag land base in Clearwater.

And then you were flown to California and you

No, I drove to California because I brought

All right. Now at ASI who were the other individuals that you were working with who worked for ASI?

Terri Gamboa was the executive director. Norman Sarky, I don't know if he was actually called as part of ASI or whatever we called it at that time, R Accounts, but he was handling the legal thing along with Lyman Spurlock.

There was a Ron Pook who was there. I think he was sort of looking for some buildings at the time for us to move into. I am not sure if - - I think Becky Pook at

4 5

the time. That was her name. She later married Doug Hay, so she became Becky Hay. She now works for Author Services. She was there, but I don't think she was part of Author Services. She was part of the Special Unit at the time.

There was Pat Brice who was LRH Pers Sec.

There was Julia Watson who I am not sure what her exact

post was. There was Fran Harris. Her basic title was

R Accounts at the time, but she was sort of over the money

area and the books area; her husband Fred Harris.

Jim Isaacson. Joyce Isaacson was the Org officer. Richard Tinklenberg, who was the treasury secretary when I got there, and, first, there was David Muscavige who was — sort of ran the whole show, but he was sort of back and forth between CMO Int because he was running CMO Int at the time and Author Services or R Accounts.

Q When you say running CMO Int, was that the organization to your knowledge that was running the Church of Scientology?

A Supposedly running the Church of Scientology.

I later found out that they were not really; figureheads,
but they received their orders from David Muscavige who
received his orders from Pat and Annie Broeker, who received
their orders from LRH.

Q Now some of these individuals, did you become familiar with a corporation called Religious Technology Center?

A It was just coming into being as I left Author Services.

1	Q Did you ever see the Articles of Incorporation
2	of Religious Technology Center?
3	A No.
4	Q Do you have any understanding as to who
5	incorporated it?
6	MR. HARRIS: Well, if he didn't see the papers,
7	Your Honor, it would clearly be hearsay.
8	THE COURT: I will sustain the objection.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

38f

Q What did you do for Author Services Inc.?

A When I started I was a deputy treasury secretary under Dick Tinklenberg.

I was brought there because of the fact that they were having so many flaps in the treasury lines and so forth.

Three weeks later I was promoted to treasury secretary and Richard Tinklenberg was demoted to deputy treasury secretary. And that is the post I had until leaving.

Q And just basically what were your duties?

A Well, I was responsible for any financial transactions going on in the organization. It was sort of like -- I was supposed to have the -- all the knowledge of everything that went on. But, of course, a lot of things weren't told to me or things that I would try to find out, people didn't have time to continually brief me on which would like include all of his investments and so forth.

But basically, handling all of his bank accounts, handling his audits.

When I say "his," it would be LRH's; plus a separate entity called Author Services which was the corporation set up to manage his affairs.

Q All right. Now, just yes or no, throughout the years you were involved in the Church of Scientology was it also your understanding that L. Ron Hubbard had never received any monies from the Church of Scientology?

A Never as far as I knew it.

There were many policy letters, many documents or promotional pieces that were always -- that always had, I could say, as a shore story, but by using the words "shore story," because it sort of degrades a little bit because a shore story was usually something told to fool someone or to camouflage something. Because when we were on the ship, we used to use various shore stories because we didn't want

- Q So the answer is that you always understood that LRH was not receiving any Scientology monies from Scientology organizations; is that correct?
 - A Right.
- Q And, just yes or no, when you got to ASI did you find that to be completely false?

MR. HARRIS: I object to that, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: Yes, I did.

it to be known that we were Scientologists.

- Q BY MR. FLYNN: Now, at some point in time did you tell the people at ASI that you wanted to leave?
- A I don't know if I came right out and said I want to leave it was just like I didn't want to be on the post that I was on, the job that I was doing.

I was very unhappy, you know. And I didn't like the working conditions. And I sort of expressed that. I continually was asking for help, for, you know, I needed to get some help. I couldn't do it, you know. There is something wrong. I just, you know, can't hack it, can't hack what is going on.

3

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

And, incidentally, what were your wages at ASI?

I think we had a base salary of \$160 which we actually got clear something like \$121 after taxes which was to be used to pay for -- well, \$20 was to go to our rent of living at the Complex which was the Scientology Complex.

If we ate our meals there we had to pay \$1.75 per meal. It was used for any medical that we made need, any clothing that you had to buy, any pressing or cleaning of our suits or for our general, you know, anything else that we needed.

The money was taken for those items out of your wages?

No. We were given a check for \$121 and, you know, some cents. And we were just obligated to either -- you know, if we got sick, we were obligated to save enough money out of that particular portion of it to be used for future dental or, you know, medical needs or vitamins, whatever. And we were obligated to, you know, give \$20 a week over to the organization at the Complex.

Was that, to your understanding, the minimum wage at the time?

I really didn't think of it at the time. It couldn't -- if I figured how many hours I worked, which was probably 80 to 100 hours a week, I'm sure it didn't equal the minimum wage which I know to be \$3.35 or something today.

All right. Now, at some point in time you were ordered into a security check; is that correct?

After a while Jesse had to leave and Terri became the auditor and Doug Hay were there, of which they kept falling asleep on me. Both of them were sitting across from me, not there, just completely asleep, and I'd have to knock on my desk or go (indicating) make noises to wake them up.

Of course, they were trying to find out what was going on, you know, why was I committing these overts on post, an evert being even failure to do your job as an evert of omission because you are emitting not to do your stellar-type job.

Of course, I was flabbergasted in the sense, first of all I was being the term that later came into being, the term gang banged sec checked because there was more than one person. Nothing in policy, but then to have my auditor fall asleep on me.

That was nothing compared to the sec check that happened around the 30th of October, around 10 o'clock at night at ASI at 6464 Sunset Boulevard.

- Q This was on the premises of ASI?
- A Yes, in the offices of ASI.
- Q And this was a for profit corporation under California law to your understanding?

A That is correct. I think I was even an officer because I had signed some documents with no date on it so that if I was ever removed from post, they could, in turn, put a date on it or if anything ever happened, which was a common church policy which I observed even in Clearwater

many times.

Q What happened in this October security check?

A Well, certain events happened prior to that.

There was a large gold deal that was suspect of going sour,
a few hundred thousand dollars which I had no knowledge of,
which all of a sudden Doug Hay, who was my senior who was
responsible, tells the DM, which was David Miscavige, and he
just blew, was just -- he went psychotic.

Prior to that he supposedly found out that I had used a girl by the name of Ellen Reynolds to do some audits on LRH's accounts to bring them up to date give enough data to the accountant that was used by LRH, Marty Greenburg, so he could file his 1981 tax returns which we had already gotten the maximum number of extensions.

Q Well, so - -

A These two things together really turned DM into a psycho again. I mean, it was like a common occurrence as far as I was concerned because it is like he exhibited this every time I was in the office.

Q And David Miscavige held what post in Author Services, Inc.?

Well, all reports that I wrote up to LRH would go through him and Terri Gamboa, Terri being the ED of ASI and David Miscavige COB, Chairman of the Board, or I would say GM, one of the two. Sort of like it didn't really make much difference. He was the top dog and there was no doubt that he was running ASI and the church.

Q All right, now did Miscavige order you into a

security check?

A He immediately ordered me into a security check.

I was dragged into a room the size of this witness stand

which also had a table in it, and then Nan Starky was sitting

across from me with the door behind her which was open.

There was maybe about a foot of room on my left side.

All down the hallway the whole staff of ASI
were there, including Terri Gamboa, Norman Starky, Pat Brice,
Lyman Spurlock, David Miscavige, Fran Harris, Fred Harris,
Becky Hay.

How long did the security check last?

A Ten hours. It lasted from about 10 o'clock in the evening to 8 o'clock in the morning. About 6 o'clock in the morning the staff of ASI left because they had to go out to CMO Int.

During this time I was just bombarded with these questions asking who was I working for. Was I working for the CIA? Was I a plant? Was I working for the FBI? Where was all the money I stole. Where are all the jewels I stole.

David Miscavige would sort of peek his head in when he would be there for maybe a half hour and leave. He would peek his head in and look at the meter and say, "Ah hah, something read there. What crimes did you commit? What does that mean?"

It was like an intimidating type thing.

He said, "I am going to fix you." Put a wad of tobacco

back in his mouth. Gave a bit to Norman, and he

1 2

was continually popping in and out. They were still trying to find all these things I had done.

Then, after, somewhere between fifteen minutes to ahalf hour, he came in and said, "This is the last time. Tell the truth. Come clean."

Prior to that Norman Starky threatened me that if I didn't come clean, the same thing that happened to Eric Wallery and to Peter Gillam, who were church members at Gilman Hot Springs, which was an intimidation because they apparently had embezzled some money and stole some silver, and they were threatened with — in fact, it is by Mr. Heller, I was told, at Lenske, Lenske & Heller because he had connections in the D.A's office, that they were going to make sure that I spent a good deal of time in jail and he said, "Don't worry about the evidence. We will find people that will testify against you, no matter what crimes we come up with." Otherwise, they were essentially saying that they were going to find witnesses to perjure themselves because I knew I hadn't committed anything.

Anyway, then he came in at a later time and spit in my face with tobacco juice during a sec check, which is heresy, if you want to think of Hubbard as a god and his technology, and then Norman did the same thing.

Q Norman Starky?

A Norman Starky did the same thing, and I had sort of been good friends with Norman for a good number of years. For a long time he was the captain of the yacht Apollo, you know, and we always had a good relationship.

Of

Now, was this security check to your knowledge intended for you to get some spiritual gain, Mr. Schomer?

maybe on some other planet, but it couldn't possibly have been. There is nothing in policy to this day that I know of that authorizes or condones a gang bang sec check of more than one person. A sec check, even though they say they can use the data against you for further action, there is nothing in policy that says more than one person is there.

	The state of
	1
1	
411	
0	A War
2	
	1-4
1 70 5 174	100
3	
4	1
4	
-	
5	t
200	
	1
6	
6	100
_	
7	
	1 2
8	
0	1
11	t
9	
10	
10	
11	E
12	1 - 10
12	
13	1
14	
14	
15	
16	
10	- 8
17	3
17	1
	-
18	
10	t
	and the
10	12 5 6
19	-
	13 3 6
	1
20	
20	
	1000
01	1
21	1
22	

24

25

26

27

28

-		Company of the Company		
Q	AII	right.	Now -	-
w.	6044	447116	FOOM	

- A And sit in your face is, you know, that is -- well, I have already said it. So --
- Q Now, was Mrs. Gamboa present throughout most of the security check?
- A She was present through most of it and knew I was there from 10 o'clock when they started until they left to go to CMO Int to the rest of the staff at 6 o'clock in the morning.

So her testimony that it only lasted an hour was as a completely fabricated.

- Q And Mr. Spurlock, was he there through most of it?
- A I just saw him once or twice. He never actually came close to me and fired questions like the rest of the staff were doing and told to do and blaming me for possibly, you know, our gross income to LRH being down and, you know, that possible loss of bonuses that was going to be lost that was money out of their pockets because of bonuses that they wouldn't make.
- Q Were you familiar with a situation in which

 James Isaacson under power of attorney for LRH had lost some

 money in an investment?
 - A Yes.
- Q And did L. Ron Hubbard that several hundred thousand dollar loss in the investments be billed to Jim Isaacson?
 - A Yes. I saw the documents.

MR. HARRIS: What is the relevance?

I move to strike it, Your Honor.

THE COURT: What is the relevance, counsel?

MR. FLYNN: Control, Your Honor. These people all were supposedly working for an organization called ASI; at the same time they were running the Church of Scientology. And here is the client of the corporation ASI billing one of the employees for lost investments.

THE COURT: When did this purportedly happen? Was this after you were at ASI?

THE WITNESS: Yes. This was during the time I was at ASI.

THE COURT: I'll let the answer stand.

Q BY MR. FLYNN: During the period of time -after the security check were you locked up?

A Yes. I was locked up under guard for the next two days.

Q Who was the guard?

A It was actually an auditor. His name slips my mind right now. I probably have it in my briefcase that I wrote it down. But I am not sure.

But he really didn't have any knowledge of why he was guarding me. But he was --

Q At some point in time did you manage to leave?

A Yes. On Sunday morning, he -- while I was in the shower he said he was going down to change clothes because he thought he was guarding me for some spiritual reason, so I could get some good rest to further my spiritual release

Charles	
1	through auditing.
2	Q Did he tell you that?
3	A Yes, he did.
4	Q All right.
5	A And the minute he told me that and I heard the
6	door slam, I got out of the shower; got dressed and got the
7	hell out of there.
8	Q Where did you go?
9	A I went to a friend of mine's house, Olivia
0	Washours.
1	Q Had you left all your belongings behind?
2	A Left with the clothes on my back.
3	Q At some time did you return to get your
4	belongings?
5	A Well, I called up Nan Starkey, who was the
6	organization officer, and actually the only one that would
7	be around because everybody else had gone around to Gilman
8	Hot Springs; I reached her at ASI and told her I was not
9	blowing per se; I had just had to go out and get some
20	exteriorization because I was in fear of what had to happen,
21	what was going to happen to me. I had to think things over.
22	She immediately started attacking me and told
23	me that all of CMO was out looking for me; I should get my
24	ass back immediately.
25	I said, "Wait a minute."
26	She kept spouting out at me.
27	I said. "I'll be back sometime later "

What was your fear, Mr. Schomer?

A I was in fear of my life, knowing I had been Sec Checked for 10 hours, not allowed to get up to go to the bathroom; not allowed to sleep; not allowed to have a drink of water; not allowed anything, just questions firing at me.

You tell me what I'm supposed to have done, and I'll tell you if I did it or not.

And they wouldn't allow me that type of a thing. I didn't know what they would do. And, you know, knowing of things like I had mentioned about, well, the threat that Norman Starky made to me about he was going to put me in jail and they were going to throw the key away and have these witnesses say what I supposedly did, to be convicted or whatever, I mean, there are many, many things including me being assassinated or wiped out, you know, that entered my mind.

Q All right.

Now, were you fearful that they would come and get you if you didn't come back?

A I knew if they knew where I was at the time they would come and get me.



Q Then what happened?

A I was -- when I hung up the phone, I was sort of trembling and I didn't really know what I was going to do, and then I decided that I was going to go -- I was going to flee the city for a while, and I made reservations to go down to Miami to stay with my brother, and I called my brother up and I said I was coming in that evening and that I'd be down to see him.

- Q And then what happened after that?
- A Then I went for a ride with Olivia. Went down to the beach. I was just trying to destimulate a little a bit, and we were riding around in my car and on the way back my car broke down and the fuel pump was broken.
 - Q Well at some point --

A I parked my car and that evening she took me to the airport or, no, she didn't take me. Another gal that was visiting came to visit her took me to the airport, and I fled to Niami.

- Q Now at some point did you return?
- A Yes, I did.
 - Q And when did you return?
- A I returned 10 days later.
 - Q And for what purpose did you return?
- A Well, because Terri Gamboa - well, my daughter, who is still in the CMO in Scientology to this date, called me up and asked me what was happening because I had told her I was leaving, and she called me up and told me I should come back.

Then, Terri Gamboa got on the phone and told 1 me that I should come back immediately, and I told her well 2 I'd think about it. But I had planned on coming back seven days later. Terri Gamboa was with your daughter when this 0 5 took place? 6 A Yes. 7 Did you get concerned for the security of your 0 8 daughter? 9 Oh, yeah. I really didn't know what was going 10 A on. My daughter, who was actually working as a church 11 representative in ASI at the time to receive communications 12 between the church and ASI, and they had it worked out some 13 14 way so it wasn't sort of an inurement situation, but she later was fired or kicked out of ASI for being a security 15 16 risk. 17 So you returned to ASI. Then what happened? 0 I returned to ASI. I was under armed - - not 18 19 armed guard. I was put under guard, of which I stayed until basically I left. 20 21 How long were you under guard? 22 Well I left the 23rd of December, and this - -23 I came back around the 10th of November, telling them that 24 I had to be - - I had to be in Boulder because I had told 25 my sister I was going to be there for Thanksgiving. I was 26 in such fear of my life that I even told my sister who 27 happened to be coming down to visit my brother, who stayed

with my brother in Florida, that if I didn't call her every

28

1	A No commercial mail service.
2	Q Was there a direct communications link to
3	L. Ron Hubbard?
4	A Definitely was.
5	Q What was that?
6	A Well, every week we wrote our reports and we
7	even made a tape that went up to LRH of ASI staff meetings,
8	telling him every person would tell him of the winds and
9	the flaps for the week and the stats and so forth. We'd
10	be up all Thursday night writing reports to him which were
11	then critiqued by our seniors and DM and Terri, and then
12	later Pat Brocker out at CMO Int at Gilman Hot Springs.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

42f

4 5

And then it would go to LRH; in fact, a routing was not to LRH, but, of course, they always had the asterisk which meant LRH. So that if anybody found it, it could never really be proved that that was, you know, that it went to him. But the asterisk was him. And anything that came back from him, you know, had the asterisk down at the bottom of the report.

But many times there were reports that I would write that would come back and then his handwriting would be at the top which is, you know, very distinguishable, saying, you know, "Very well done," or writing two or three lines or something in his handwriting, you know.

- Q What were the actual circumstances whereby these reports were collected and transmitted?
 - A The circumstances?
- Q Right. And where did you go and what did you do?
- A Well, every week on Thursday after 2 o'clock, which was the end of the Scientology stat period, we would finish off our work week and write our reports.

One big thing that I had to do was I had this transaction and balance sheet which concerned all of LRH's assets and any monies that were received that week and what bank accounts and so forth they went into; plus my weekly report of what things that I had done during the week and what project I was working on all went -- after I finished writing them, then they would go to my senior. And I had two at times. I had different -- I mean initially it was

Fran Harris. And later it became Doug Hay. And from him it would go to Terri Gamboa and from her it would go to DM.

And then he would review all of the reports and then we were out at CMO Int, he would take them all in a box because they all went in a special box. And he would take them to a cabana at Gilman Hot Springs where Pat Broeker was.

I know Pat Broeker was there because I met with him personally in that cabana.

But often when I was going down to the cantina to eat, in the darkness I would look through the blind and see him in there talking to Terri Gamboa, Norman Starky, and often Lyman Spurlock.

And I knew they would then have to go up to LRH because they came back, you know, to ASI. And LRH had seen them and written comments or wrote another report back to me telling me what to do, you know, for the next week, that type of thing.

- Q And this was going on on a weekly basis?
- A On a weekly basis.

There were also some times checks that I would have to write, a CSW to LRH so that money could be transferred from either his foreign accounts or his local accounts to ASI accounts to be used to either pay his bills or for investments or maybe to open up a new bank account or a new broker account where his signature would be required.

Q Now, was ASI billing Orgs around the world at that time for management duties for the Church?

MR. HARRIS: I'll object to that, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I think I'll sustain the objection.

MR. FLYNN: That is all I have, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You may cross-examine.

MR. FLYNN: One other question, Your Honor.

Q When did you first meet me or talk to me, Mr. Schomer?

A The first time I met you was -What is today? I don't remember what day it is.
Tuesday. I met you Sunday night. I met you late
Sunday afternoon when I came into Los Angeles.

1	Q And when did you first talk to me?
2	A I first talked to you, I think, last Friday
3	when you called and asked if I would come forward and
4	testify in this case.
5	MR. FLYNN: Thank you.
6	THE COURT: All right, Mr. Harris, you may cross-
7	examine.
8	MR. HARRIS: Thank you, Your Honor.
9	
10	CROSS-EXAMINATION
11	BY MR. HARRIS:
12	Q Mr. Schomer, I take it that you don't care for
13	Mr. Miscavige?
14	A You know, I can still say that per Hubbard's
15	technology, that there is some basic good in everyone.
16	Q So there is a little bit of good in Miscavige,
17	but you don't care for him?
18	A I could say I don't care for you either for
19	you being
20	Q The attorney for the church?
21	A Attorney for the church or some of these
22	falsehoods that I hear being talked about.
23	Q Well
24	A I am not going to come out and say I hate
25	Mr. Miscavige. That is not, you know, I am sure there is
26	some good in him. I am sure maybe he was doing it for some
27	reason. I am not sure.

Could I get a drink of water?

THE COURT: Yes, the court attendant will get you a 1 2 drink of water. BY MR. HARRIS: You were an ethics officer 3 4 aboard the ship; were you? No, I was not. 5 A At AS HO? 6 0 7 A Yes, I was. 8 And during the period that you were an ethics 9 officer I take it that you applied ethics to the best of your 10 ability to help people; is that correct? Yes that's correct. 11 12 And when you were CMO Cope Officer, from time 0 13 to time you had to perform ethics functions; is that correct? 14 A Right. 15 I take it you have been a Chaplain at some 16 point? 17 A You take it wrong. No, I have never been a 18 Chaplain. 19 Never been a Chaplain. 0 20 But I am a minister of the church. 21 All right, and as far as what happened in the 22 RPF aboard the ship, you obviously continued working after 23 you had graduated from that on the ship; is that correct? 24 I guess you could say yes. Otherwise from 25 that point forward I wouldn't have been in the church. I 26 would have been off the ship. 27 Well it wasn't your intention while you were 28 in the RPF to leave the church; was it?

1	A No.
2	Q It was
3	A I thought from time to time that maybe it might
4	not be worth it.
5	Q But, in any event, while you were aboard the
6	ship and so on, you were a dedicated Scientologist; is that
7	correct?
8	A Yes, but there is extenuating circumstances.
9	Aboard the ship I couldn't leave if I wanted to because my
10	passport was locked up.
11	Q Did you ask anybody to leave at any time?
12	A No, I did not.
13	Q And there were people that did leave the ship
14	while you were aboard; isn't that correct?
15	A Yes, blew, climbed down the anchor chain or
16	down the rat guards or the lines, or when they were going
17	out on a job, just never came back. I don't think I knew
18	of any there were a few that were beached because there
19	was a Flag order that said if anybody has any out second
20	dynamic, meaning promiscuous activities, they would be beached
21	and they were sort of literally thrown off the ship or LRH
22	had given an order that they were to be left in 24 hours,
23	something like that.
24	Q Well, let me ask you in all the time that you
25	were aboard the ship, Mr. Schomer, is it your testimony that
26	nobody routed out and left the ship?
27	A There was a period of time now, I sort of
28	recall, when LRH wanted to clean the ship of anybody that

:4f

didn't want to be there, yes, and they could leave, just leave. And there were also people, I guess, that were sent off as being PTS or potential trouble sources that shouldn't be on the ship and sent to various organizations throughout the world to finish up their billion year contract.

Q Let me ask you this, Mr. Schomer: You say when Mr. Hubbard was aboard the ship, he controlled everything under all circumstances all the time; is that right?

A That is too inclusive. I mean, I didn't have to ask him to go to the bathroom.

Q You said he managed it all the time.

A We are talking about —— let's get down to brass tacks. We are talking about the management of the Scientology network throughout the world, and everything that had any importance to do with the running of the ship otherwise, that he was the almighty that ran everything, yes.

8 9

A You're trying to twist my words around. You know,
I'll do the best I can to right them.

Q I don't want to twist your words around,
Mr. Schomer.

What did you personally observe Mr. Hubbard doing as you observed him managing everything?

A I saw him personally — because I used to watch in his window while he was doing it — sign Ethics orders declaring people, you know; sign Evals, of course, it was not during one little period of time; it was during a few years — signing, you know, the menus; signing the OODS, writing the OODS, orders of the day; approving Evals; approving mission orders; signing financial planning that, you know, went on aboard the ship.

Sometimes I would even have to wait outside while some document he had to look at, like the firing of a mission order, something like that --

- Q So you looked in his window and you saw him signing Evals, menus, and all of these other things you have talked about; correct?
 - A That is correct.
 - Q And OODS?
 - A Yes, that is correct.

In other words, I personally saw him from time to time over a period of time while I was on the ship signing that array of types of documents.

Q And through the window you could see the types of documents that he was signing; is that correct?

1	
2	
3	0.8
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
0	
9	
9	
10	
10	
11	
10	
12	
10	
13	
	-
14	
15	
15	-
16	-
10	
17	1
17	
18	1
10	
19	
19	
20	
20	
21	
-1	
22	
23	
	1
24	1
	1
25	-
	1
26	-
20	-
27	-
	1

A Well, it was like two feet away or, like I say, sometimes I had to get something for him to okay like an Ethics order or a personnel order for a mission or a mission order where I was standing outside his door. And the messenger would take it in to him; put it on his desk. He looked at it; signed it; gave it back to me and I recognized his signature which is the same person I saw sign it on the document.

Q Did you do this on a daily basis, Mr. Schomer, look through Mr. Hubbard's window and see him doing this?

A On a daily basis I had to go back to my berthing at a certain period of time. It is sort of like I used to watch him through the window, yes.

- Q Your office was right there where you could watch him?
 - A How did the office get into it?
- Q You were standing outside of his window on a daily basis aboard the ship?

A I said when I would walk by his window I would stop many times and watch him work, like the rest of the crew.

We were in awe that we could see and be close to L. Ron Hubbard who was there working and watch him do these things and going through PC folders and CS'ing and so forth.

If you knew that God was aboard the ship, you would do the same thing.

This is the way you perceived Mr. Hubbard at

the time?

A Yes. He was -- I was in awe of him. That is why I joined the Church; it is so I could help him clear the planet.

Q What did you mean by clearing the planet?

A You would have to look in the Tech dictionary.

The world "clear," you know, there is no -- a whole

dissertation of, you know, mankind being better and coming
to more awareness and brotherhood and the things that they

preach in Sunday school everyday.

I am sure you are a God-fearing man yourself.
You must be.

Q Let me ask you this, Mr. Schomer: during the period of time when you were looking through Mr. Hubbard's window and seeing him sign all of these things did you observe other people to be standing around looking through the window at the same time?

A Every day? I mean there wasn't a crowd around the window. I wasn't the nucleus of this crowd.

People were constantly -- it was sort of like -
I had better not say everything, because then you'll ask

me --

THE COURT: Let's not get into what he might ask you; just answer the question.

THE WITNESS: Frequently this would happen. And frequently other people would do the same thing.

Q BY MR. HARRIS: Now, what was your post at the time that you were looking through the window watching

Mr. Hubbard sign all of these things?

A I have no idea. I would have to list all the posts that I held on the Flag ship. And at any time that I looked through the window I could have had one of those posts.

If the Judge would like the irrelevant data of all of my posts, I would be glad to take your time.

Q I was just trying to ascertain if there was some particular post that you remember when you were looking through the window watching Mr. Hubbard sign all of these things.

A I am a nosy person. I like to know what is going on. I like to ask questions. I have done it all my life.

That is why I am up here, because I have got all of this data that we're trying to clear the air on, you know.

- Q In any event, at some point when you got off the ship you took over the responsibility of Director of Records, assets and materials?
 - A Right.
 - Q And that was at Flag?
- 22 A That was at the Flag Land Base in Clearwater, 23 500 Cleveland Street.
 - O In Clearwater?
 - A Right. Zip code 33 -- I am sorry, Your Honor, for being such a schmuck.
 - THE COURT: Just try to answer the questions simply. We'll try to get out a little sooner.

1	
	The same
2	
	1
3	
0	
4	1
	20.0
-	
5	
6	
0	3 31
4	
7	
	100
_	
8	
9	-
9	1
1000	
10	
11	500
12	
1.2	
13	
	0
14	
14	
	- 1
15	1
40	183
16	-
17	1
11	
18	
10	1
19	
20	
Marie Co.	
21	100

23

24

25

26

27

28

Q BY MR. HARRIS: When you had this post I take it you still felt that you were a dedicated Scientologist; is that correct?

A Yes. I had doubts, certain suppressed doubts of, you know, things that I would see on the ship and see Hubbard do and irrational behavior like what was mentioned earlier.

But I held him in awe; so it is like, you know, there must be a reason for it. I didn't know it at the time, but it is sort of like, at some point in time, I'm sure when I got to be like him, maybe I would, understand why he could still do that and receive the hundreds and thousands of hours of auditing which he had gotten.

- Q When you went to work for ASI you were still a member of the Sea Org?
 - A Yes, I was.
- Q And the people around you, all those names that you named, they were still members of the Sea Org as far as you knew?
- A Right. I never really felt that I ever was not a member of the Sea Org, regardless of what documents said I was.

I'm sure there was, for legal reasons, that there wouldn't be any inurement involved.

Q You have brought that up three or four times,
Mr. Schomer, about no inurement involved; let me ask you,
at the time you were Director of Records, assets and materials
before you went to ASI were you being paid by the Church of

California? 1 Yes, I was. 2 I take it you were doing nothing personal for 3 Mr. Hubbard; right? 4 In Clearwater, no, I was not. 5 And aboard the ship did you feel that you were 6 personally employed by Mr. Hubbard? 7 Yes, I did. A 8 Did you feel that everyone on the ship was 9 personally employed by Mr. Hubbard? 10 Yes, I did. 11 And you had seniors when you were on board the 0 12 ship; right? 13 Obviously. I wasn't the top dog; so --14 And you had seniors over your post of Director 15 of Records, assets and materials? 16 Yes, I did. A 17 And when you went to ASI and at the time that 18 you went to ASI you understood that ASI would be run by 19 Scientology principles; correct? 20 Yes, I did. A 21 22 And that the corporation would be split up like an organization board such as in a Church? 23 24 A I don't quite follow that. 25 Q Well, you know what an Org board is, don't you? Yes. 26 A 27 0 And did you have an Org board at ASI? 28 A Sure.

And was it your agreement at the time that you went there to comport yourself as a Scientologist at ASI? A Yes. And you agreed to do that? Well, I didn't really agree. I really didn't want to be there. But if I wanted to stay with the Church, it was sort of like, you know --When you say --If you want to be Catholic, if you want to be in the Catholic Church, it is like the same. When you say you didn't want to be there, did you protest going to ASI? A Yes, I did, when I was in Clearwater.

4	
п	
٠,	

Q To whom did you protest?

I had worked to get to for a long time.

Q When you say you felt you were shanghailed, you mean somebody forcibly made you work at ASI?

A Well when you work in the church, you could --

that I was being shanghailed to go to ASI rather than to go

to Gilman Hot Springs and be in the household unit where

To the CMO in Clearwater when I found out

I could look at it now and say I was forced to do it because if I wanted to continue to be in the church type of thing, it is sort of force. It is sort of an indirect force thing. You do what they tell you to do, you know, you either get out of the church or you go to the RPF and get redeemed.

Q So it is your testimony that someone told you that you must go to ASI?

A That's right. I did not have a choice.

Q You wanted to go to Gilman Hot Springs and be in the household unit?

A Right. I had just bought like six pairs of Bermuda shorts to be out in the desert, and I still have them at home now with the labels on them that I never used.

So when you got to ASI, did you protest to somebody? "Hey, I wanted to go out to the household unit at Gilman Hot Springs because I bought six pairs of Bermuda shorts."

THE COURT: Oh, that is argumentative, Counsel.

Q BY MR. HARRIS: Did you protest to anybody at the time that you got to ASI that you didn't want to be

you started; is that correct?

1	there?	
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	To whom did you protest?
4	A	Fran Harris, my senior.
5		She laughed at me and said, "Well, we will
6	handle that.	You will get to like it here. There will be
7	a lot of benef	fits, and I am sure that working real close to
8	LRH and right	on his lines is what you already wanted to
9	be, if you go	to Gilman Hot Springs in the household unit,
10	if you thought	you were going to see LRH, you wouldn't have.
11	But you will,	you will be in the weekly communication with
12	him."	
13		So, it is like this is the chance of a lifetime
14	so just stick	it out.
15	Q	And you told her that you would?
16	A	I said, "Well, I guess I don't have a choice."
17	I didn't real:	ly say, "Then, I am going to stay."
18		I said, "Okay, I guess I don't have a choice,"
19	and the conver	rsation ended.
20	Q	Now, why did you want to go to Gilman Hot
21	Springs?	
22	A	Because I wanted to work close to LRH.
23	Q	And at that time
24	A	That is why I joined the Sea Org. That is
25	why I got into	Scientology.
26	Q	So when you embarked upon your post at
27	Author Service	es, at least you did not make any protest after

1	A I was in a continual protest. That is why
2	they bang ganged me, sec checked me.
3	Q You understood that that was something that
4	wasn't per the policy; is that correct?
5	A Well there is a lot of things going on that
6	aren't per policy. It is like distinguishing what is policy
7	and what isn't policy. When do you apply/and when don't you
8	apply it?
9	Q Where you mean that one has to exercise
10	judgment in applying policy?
11	A Sometimes there is no judgment.
12	What am I going to do with 20 people accosting
13	me and telling me "Hey, this is off policy." Are you
14	kidding me?
15	You know, it is like having a spit protector
16	in front of you to protect the spit from flying at you. You
17	have got to be nuts.
18	Q The first time that you blew, you were under
19	guard. That is what you said; right?
20	A Yes, under guard.
21	Q Somebody was there who told you that he
22	thought you were supposed to be there for spiritual benefit?
23	A Right.
24	Q And that person you don't remember?
25	A That is right.
26	And Cormier was his last name.
27	Q Cormier?
28	

1	He is a Class 10 auditor. He will probably be in the
2	RPF now because I said it.
3	Q And at the time that you blew you went away
4	for about 10 days and then you came back; is that correct?
5	A I went away for exactly 10 days, as I said
6	before.
7	Q And when you came back, you were going to
8	route out?
9	A That is right, correct. I came back to get my
10	possessions, to get my car which they had stolen from me and
11	locked up at the Complex, and to secure my physical well being
12	that I wouldn't be in total fear for the rest of my life
13	that they were going to come and get me for what I knew.
14	Q They?
15	A I figured if I routed out correctly, I would
16	have some chance of surviving.
17	Q And they took your car back to the Complex?
18	A ASI took my car. John Allcok, A-1-1-c-o-c-k,
19	who is the estate manager at ASI.
20	Q And locked it up at the Complex?
21	A That is correct.
22	Q All right, now, after you decided that you
23	were going to route out, were you under guard?
24	A Yes, I was under guard the whole time.
25	Q Who was the guard?
26	A There were a number of guards. The main guard
27	was Dick Storey who was also at the Florida Flag land base.
28	Q Did Dick Storey tell you that you couldn't leave?

7 8

A Yeah. I mean, he took me to the bathroom and waited at the door. I mean, it is like - - he didn't come out and give me certain - - the rules that he was going to follow like, "I am your guard. You can't do anything."

But, you know, it is sort of like you didn't tell me that you were going to be cross—examining me, but I sort of could figure it out because you were doing it to everybody else. It is something, if you are sort of intelligent, you can sort of by obnosis find out.

- Q What was the word that you used?
- A Observed. Obnosis is a Scientology word.
- Q And in any event at some point, you blew again?

A At some point exactly the 23rd of December I finally finnagled, which is a Jewish word not a Scientology word, sort of connivingly got into locked rooms and got my gear together slowly but surely. Was able to get my — — a trailer and put it all in and I escaped. That is better. It is more dramatic than blowing because that is exactly what I did do because they would prevent you from blowing.

Q After that, you asked for an amnesty; right?

A After that, I would say about eight months later, an amnesty came out and my daughter, who the church forced to disconnect from me and write me a letter saying that she hereby disconnected from me because I wasn't quote, unquote, handling my scene. In other words, I wasn't being a good boy and I wasn't getting back on the bridge, which I had no intention of doing anyway.

6f

In fact, Milt and Laura Wolfe, who supposedly have some documents, came to my house in Boulder last weekend with Mission orders that I have got copies of also. And they'll probably be put in the RPF now because they happened to leave them in the open and I made copies of them.

It clearly states why they came. And they were supposedly to get me back to the bridge by whatever means they could.

- Q "Getting back to the bridge" means getting you back into the Church; right?
 - A Not necessarily.
 - Q Either as a public person or as a staff member?
 - A Right.
 - Q And you are not going to do that?
 - A I don't think so.

I have thought it over very seriously. And I don't think my spiritual well being would be -- it is probably the right thing to do now.

MR. HARRIS: No further questions.

THE COURT: Mr. Litt?

MR. LITT: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE WITNESS: Your Honor, would you like documents submitted that these other things happened?

THE COURT: Lawyers are trying the case; I am just sitting here.

THE WITNESS: I don't want to complicate things too much.

1	CROSS-EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. LITT:
3	Q Mr. Schomer, when you returned back for this few
4	weeks period of time did you have any conversations with
5	your sister while you were
6	A Every other day, as I mentioned. Because if I
7	didn't, she was going to call the police.
8	Q So you regularly called her every other day
9	pursuant to your agreement with her?
10	A Right.
11	Now you are going to say
12	Q I'm not going to say anything. I'm just trying
13	to find out. I don't have any question pending.
14	You mentioned that an individual named Neville
15	Potter
16	A Yes.
17	Q came to ASI?
18	A Regularly, once a week.
19	Q Did he talk to you?
20	A Occasionally.
21	Q To chat, to say hello, or something like that?
22	A Yes.
23	I asked him how he was doing. I knew his wife.
24	Q And you indicated that he met with some people?
25	A He met with Terri or DM every time he was there
26	or Norman; usually with Terri because he just came to pick up
27	wasn't there too long.

Q And were you part of these meetings?

1	A No.			
2	Q And did you review what Mr. Potter delivered or			
3	picked up?			
4	A Sometimes because sometimes I had a document that			
5	had to go to MSH. And it would come back through that line.			
6	So after he left I would get the document.			
7	Q Did you ever have any discussions with			
8	Mr. Potter concerning Mrs. Hubbard's understanding as to			
9	any whatever communications existed with			
10	A No.			
11	Q And you never had a discussion with Mrs. Hubbard			
12	about that?			
13	A No.			
14	Q You indicated that you were somebody mentioned			
15	to you an individual, I guess it was during the security			
16	check, named Peter Gillam?			
17	A Yes.			
18	Q And that this was an individual who, to your			
19	understanding, had embezzled some money?			
20	A Apparently he bought some things with Church			
21	funds.			
22	Q And part of the reason for your security check			
23	was to determine whether you had taken things; was that your			

understanding?

24

25

26

27

28

Per the technology, I must have done something because my irrational behavior of wanting to leave would not have been -- there is no other reason for it, according to Hubbard, I mean. That has to be -- it couldn't be harassment

-1

or it couldn't be overwhelming on post or not wanting to be there. It had to be something that you did to the organization, some missed withhold that you are a plant; you are working for the FBI or CIA or something.

- Q So Scientologists believed that if you were doing things that aren't right per the technology, that there is a reason for it; that there is some problem there?
- A Yes; the same for wanting to leave. Blowing is an overt that you have committed.
- Q And one possibility, I take it, since this name of Peter Gillam came up, had to do with whether you had taken things?
- A Peter Gillam came up because they were using the scare tactic on me that they used on him to confess everything that he did because they were going to send him to jail.

In fact, it was common knowledge around the office -- I shouldn't say common knowledge because you'll try to pin it down even further -- but I had heard Norman and DM say during a muster that they had sent him out and I think it was Mr. Heller that he had him talk to that put the scare into him that he was going to be put in jail. And they have got a lot of black people there that can do things to a tender young body.

- Q So your understanding was that Mr. Gillam had in fact admitted that he had taken things that didn't belong to him or committed some form of embezzlement or theft?
 - A That was my understanding, yes.

- A- 15	에 사용하는 이렇게 보고 있는데 있다면 되는데 되었다면 되었다면 하면 이렇게 되었다면 하는데
1	Q And people compared you to Mr. Gillam?
2	A No. They didn't compare me to him. They used
3	the same threats and scare tactics that they used on him,
4	using his name, knowing I knew the tactics that they used
5	on him.
6	Q Which was to get him to admit that he had done
7	these wrong things?
8	A Right. Or they were going to
9	Q Charge him
0	A Plant
1	Q with criminal conduct?
2	A plant false data on him.
3	Q Were you there when Mr. Gillam was told that
4	he was going to have false data planted on him?
5	A No. But I was there when DM told me and
6	everybody else that was in the room that this is what they
7	did to him.
18	Q That they told him they were going to plant false
19	data on him?
20	A Right.
21	Q And that is why he confessed to the truth?
22	A The truth? I don't know what the truth is any
23	more.
24	Q Now, did you take without saying what they
25	were at this point, did you take any materials when you left
26	ASI?
27	A My own possessions.

Did you take any internal documents of ASI?

THE WITNESS: Should I answer that?

THE COURT: You have got a privilege against selfincrimination. If you think it might tend to incriminate you,
you have a right to stand on that.

THE WITNESS: I'll plead the First Amendment.

MR. LITT: It is the Fifth Amendment.

THE WITNESS: I do have something in my safe deposit box back in Boulder, though.

MR. LITT: Nothing further.

THE WITNESS: Possibly --

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

	FLYNN	

Q Mr. Schomer, let me show you exhibit quadruple A, "Cancellation of disconnection." Was it your understanding that the policy of disconnection had been cancelled on 15 November, 1968?

A I have a policy letter of HC OB in my briefcase that it was reinstated in September of '83.

Q Let me show you this document --

MR. LITT: Can we know what the document is?

Q BY MR. PLYNN: What is it, Mr. Schomer?

MR. LITT: Have we seen it before?

THE COURT: 4A.

MR. FLYNN: No. This is --

THE WITNESS: I can get an exhibit of the latest HC OB on the disconnection, if necessary, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, there are two things on this sheet. One is "Cancellation of fair game" and then there is "Cancellation of disconnection"; which one were you referring to?

THE WITNESS: Disconnection. That is what he asked me.

THE COURT: You weren't talking about the cancellation of fair game?

THE WITNESS: No.

Q BY MR. FLYNN: Well I will ask you about that.

Do you know anything about the purported cancellation of fair game?

1	A Well, what I read in HC OB there are things,				
2	but is sort of like it doesn't really mean too much. It is				
3	just a PR type thing. That happens all the time. That				
4	doesn't mean that that is what you are ordered to follow.				
5	Q Incidentally, Mr. Schomer, when you were being				
6	sec checked, were you working for the CIA or the FBI or				
7	the planet Xenon?				
8	A No. I don't think so. I wasn't, definitely				
9	not.				
10	Q This document that Mr. Litt is reading,				
11	where did you get it?				
12	A I found it in a folder that was left on the				
13	couch in my home that belonged to Milt and Laura Wolfe				
14	when they came to make me see the light.				
15	Q Incidentally, when did they come to see you?				
16	A Came to see me on Tuesday evening, last week.				
17	Q Did Geral Armstrong call you on Monday evening?				
18	A I think so. I'd have to look in my notes of				
19	when he called me.				
20	Q And they showed up, flown in from Clearwater				
21	on Tuesday evening?				
22	A Right.				
23	Q When was the last time before that you had				
24	seen Milt and Laura Wolfe?				
25	A When I left Clearwater back in March of '82.				
26					
27					
28					

48f

	1			
	2)		

And were they two of your closest friends?

A Yes, they were.

THE COURT: Did they know where you were living?

THE WITNESS: Oh, yes. I had called them to ask how they were doing, you know, eight months before or something.

Q BY MR. FLYNN: And you picked this up on the couch; is that correct?

A That's right.

Q And is this where you got the understanding that your daughter was forced to disconnect from you?

A Well, this is a report of something that happened a couple of months ago. And she told me exactly what is in here. But there is another document which you have which is the later document --

MR. FLYNN: May this be marked next in order?

THE WITNESS: This proves without a reasonable doubt

to me that which I suspected; that she was asked or persuaded

to call me two weeks ago to get back into communication with

me so that I wouldn't continue, you know, or possibly go over

to the enemy lines.

THE COURT: I'll just interrupt.

I did mark this 4-G's, confidential.

Q BY MR. FLYNN: The mission orders of Milt and Laura Wolfe?

A No. The other one that says where she was persuaded to call me.

Q What is this document?

A This was the mission order that I also found

1	
2	
3	
4	
	-
5	
6	
6	
7	
8	-
9	i,
No. 16	3
0	
1	
2	
3	
4	
	-
5	1
6	
O	
7	-
	-
8	
19	
20	
21	1
	1
22	
23	1
	1
24	
25	
26	
26	
7	1

in their folder which is the mission order that Milt and
Laura Wolfe came to Boulder to, as it says here, the mission's
purpose is to prevent Homer from going over to the enemy camp
and get him moving back onto the bridge.

Q Were you here when Vaughn Young testified that the word "enemy" isn't used any more?

A Yes. It might have slipped by me, but it is in the mission order.

MR. LITT: Objection. That misstates the testimony of Mr. Young, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, the record will speak for itself.

And whether or not he said it, I don't recall specifically.

But there was a change in something from "enemy" to

"external." I remember that.

MR. LITT: That was with respect to the CIC sheets, Your Honor.

Q BY MR. FLYNN: You see on the bottom of the second page, "Gimmick. We are here to help sort things out." This is Milt and Laura Wolfe's mission orders; correct?

What does "Gimmick. We are here to sort things out" mean, if you know?

A All mission orders have some kind of a gimmick. It is usually something that is used as a PR-type tactic of telling people why you are there or explaining why you are there without giving them the real reason.

If you were a burglar or something, the gimmick would be I came to visit you. But while you are out of the

room, I steal your jewels. 1 Or if you're a Scientologist the gimmick would 2 be that it would be for your spiritual betterment? 3 A Yes. MR. FLYNN: The next in order, Your Honor, please. 5 THE COURT: Quadruple H. 6 MR. LITT: Does Mr. Flynn have copies to provide us 7 with? 8 MR. FLYNN: I just got them last night, Mr. Litt. 9 10 This document that I am showing Mr. Litt is the one where you first learned your daughter was forced to 11 disconnect from you? 12 No. This is the one of a phone call that she 13 made to me a few weeks ago, about two weeks ago. 14 Is there another document? 15 16 No. The one is already submitted which this confirmed my suspicion or it wasn't really a suspicion because 17 I sort of knew that she just wouldn't call me out of the 18 clear blue and be as happy as she was to get in communication 19 with me again because, you know, several weeks before she 20 21 was -- she was antagonistic against me not getting back in 22 the Church. My sister had called her and she said she was 23 24 in no frame of mind to get into -- you know, to be able to 25 talk to me, but she would think about it. 26 MR. FLYNN: May this be marked next in order, Your 27 Honor?

THE COURT: Quadruple I.

28

MR. FLYNN: That is all I have. 1 THE COURT: Did you discuss this mission information 2 with these people? 3 THE WITNESS: They don't know that I have it. 4 In fact, now that the Church knows I have got 5 it, Milt and Laura Wolfe are going to be in deep trouble. 6 Because you just don't let mission orders get into the enemy's 7 hands. 8 They don't know that I have any of these 9 documents. They are copies because I had a copy machine in 10 my house. I made copies and put the originals back in the 11 folders. So I never told them that I saw them or borrowed 12 copies from them. 13 14 In fact, I'm sure this is the first time any one 15 of the -- well, since I am their enemy, I'll say that my enemy 16 is made aware of this. 17 MR. HARRIS: Are you finished? MR. FLYNN: I'm all done, Your Honor. 18 19 I move those into evidence. 20 MR. HARRIS: May I cross-examine briefly, Your Honor? THE COURT: Certainly. 21 22 23 RECROSS-EXAMINATION 24 BY MR. HARRIS: 25 Mr. Schomer, you had been communicating with 26 Milt --27 Is his name Wolfe? 28 A Wolfe; spelling W-o-1-f-e.

1 You had been communicating with Milt Wolfe off 2 and on while you were out? 3 I called him about eight months ago to see how he was doing. 5 He called me about two months ago when the Church 6 was very heavily on my case to come back either to Clearwater 7 or to Los Angeles to handle my disagreements as far as 8 getting in more auditing. 9 I told him there was no way that I would allow 10 anybody to audit me from the Church because I am in fear of 11 that. 12 The name of Laura Wolfe happened to come up as 13 being one of my big friends back at Flag. 14 And I said, "Well, if I would consider anybody, 15 she would probably be the only one." 16 And this was two months ago? 17 About -- I would have to look in my --18 Q Roughly. 19 Two months, six weeks ago, something. A 20 But after they had found out that I had gone up 21 to look into Davey Mayo's group up in Santa Barbara, I mean 22 they didn't even question or try to get in contact with me 23 again until Milt called the other day. He tried to get me 24 a week or so before. 25 So let me just understand this: You had not 26 had a subpoena at the time that you talked with Milt and 27 Laura Wolfe?

49

28

25

26

27

28

THE COURT: The subpoens wouldn't be effective outside the State.

THE WITNESS: I never have had a subpoena. Mever even got one for a driving violation.

Q BY MR. HARRIS: So at the time that Larry Wolfe left, you had not been in communication with Mr. Flynn about being a witness in this case?

A No.

MR. HARRIS: All right, nothing further.

THE COURT: Mr. Litt?

MR. LITT: No, Your Honor.

MR. FLYNN: No, Your Honor.

MR. HARRIS: I think the exhibits are irrelevant, so I'd object on that ground.

THE COURT: I don't think they are irrelevant.

The objection is overruled.

MR. HARRIS: Well then I guess they will be entered.

THE COURT: All right, you may step down, sir.

MR. FLYNN: That is all I have on surrebuttal.

THE COURT: I don't know what comes after surrebuttal.

Do you have anything else?

MR. HARRIS: I understood the court was going to --

THE COURT: Yes, I have got to leave in a few minutes.

MR. HARRIS: It is conceivable we would have at worst, at this stage it is going to be at worst, one witness.

THE COURT: Well we can reconvene then tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock. If there is a witness, there is. If not, we will go into all these exhibits. We have got multiple exhibits

to deal with.

MR. FLYNN: What about closing arguments, Your Honor?

THE COURT: What I was thinking is once we decide

whatever exhibits are in or out, I might recess for half a
day or a day, whatever it is so I can start looking at

some of these exhibits and then have you come back and argue.

I certainly wouldn't finish looking at all the exhibits but

I could review ——

MR. LITT: That would be fine.

THE COURT: We have been going for five weeks and I have looked at some of these exhibits very hurriedly, and some things are really not in sequence. I don't remember a lot of them, so it would be helpful for me to go over some of these exhibits before we actually commence argument.

MR. LITT: I think for us as well.

THE COURT: Let's recess until tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock.

(At 3:00 p.m. the proceedings were adjourned until Wednesday, June 6, 1984 at 9:00 a.m.)

end